WARDS TO WHICH COMMENTS RELATE	POLITICAL PARTY	COMMENTS
WHICH COMMENTS	Thornaby Independent Association (TIA)	These comments are initial comments only, intended to form part of the submission to Stockton Borough Council Cabinet and Full Council meetings ar direct to the Boundary Commission and at any future public hearings. Thornaby Independent Association (TIA) wish to object in the strongest possible terms against the proposed PCC Boundary Review, which literally split This goes completely against the expressed intention as stated at the beginning of the review document, VIZ: As far as possible, we try to have regard to local ties, geographic factors, local government boundaries (as they were known at 1 December 2020), et disruption caused by proposed change We use local government wards as the building blocks for proposed constituencies, but will consider splitting a ward where there is a strong case for factors above The TOWN of Thornaby on Tees is a Royal Charter Town established in 1892 and as such has clearly defined boundaries, it is not the same as a War contribution. The town has an elected Town Council comprising 13 Councillors and 7 Councillors serve on Stockton Borough Council. It has a proud he Your proposal also crosses County lines with half of the town being lumped in with Stockton West constituency in County Durham and half in Middlesb Yorkshire. For the benefit of doubt the Royal Charter Town of Thornaby on Tees lies within and has always been within the County of North Yorkshire is of the spotsal goes ahead, we will be left with the wholly invidious position of houses within the same street being in totally different parliamentary con confusion to the Electorate and residents about the identity of their MP. Parliamentary protocol prevents an MP dealing with enquiries from another MP representative can cause significant delays and disruption, how will an issue that affe
		Central Government have also recognized the identity of our TOWN by awarding it £23.9m of funding as part of the Town Deal to be spent across all a Your document also states: <u>Our proposals leave two of the existing 29 constituencies wholly unchanged, and 11 with only minor substantive changes of one to two wards.</u> We contend that the division of our <u>TOWN</u> is far from a minor substantive change ! To add insult to injury neither proposed PCC mention the name of Thornaby on Tees, we contend that the whole of the town should be within one const name should include the name of Thornaby on Tees within the title. Whether that constituency is Middlesbrough or Stockton is a matter for the number complete.

Parliamentary Constituencies – June 2021

No comments were received relating to the following wards:

- Billingham & Wolviston
- Central Stockton
- Eaglescliffe
- Fairfield North & Elm Tree, Hardwick & Bishopsgarth and Roseworth
- Hartburn & Fairfield
- Ingleby Barwick
- Northern Parishes
- Norton
- Yarm and Southern Parishes

and we will be making further representations

plits the <u>TOWN</u> of Thornaby on Tees in half.

existing constituencies, and minimising

or doing so that helps us better respect the

ard or an area within an estate or larger heritage and strong identity.

sbrough Borough constituency within North e since time immemorial.

constituencies and this will cause enormous /IP's constituency and contacting the wrong ely different Unitary Authorities again causing

l areas of the town.

nstituency boundary and that the constituency bers involved, but the town must remain