



Building Schools for the Future Project Board Meeting

9th February 2010 13:30 to 14:30 Municipal Buildings, Conference Room 2

Glossary	of Terms	S				
PQQ	Pre Qual	lification Questionnaire	IPD	Invitation to participate in dialogue		
PfS	Partnerships for Schools		CDA	Client Design Adviser		
BSF	Building Schools for the Future Team		CABE	Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment		
DCSF	Departm	ent for Children, Schools & Families	RAG	Risk Matrix indic	ating Red, Amber or Green	
Attendee	S					
Neil Schneider (Chair)		Chief Executive	Alex C	Cunningham	Cabinet Member for Children and Young People	
Julia Morri	son	Assistant Director/Head of Children, Schools and Complex Needs	Tony	Beckwith	Head of Support Services	
David You	ldon	Head Teacher Northfield School and Sports College	Lionel	Danby	BSF Project Director	
Julie Danks		Corporate Director of Resources	Richa	rd Pratt	BSF Project Manager	
Jane Humphreys		Corporate Director of Children, Education and Social Care	Peter	Hodge	PFS Project Director	
Paul Dobs	Dobson Corporate Director of Development and Neighbourhood Services		David	Bond	Director of Law & Democracy	
Val Goodrum		LSC Partnership Director	Trace	y Brown (Minutes)	PA to the BSF Team	

Declarations of Interest:-

Councillor Cunningham advised the board he has a personal non pecuniary interest in one of the BSF bidder companies due to a relative working within their supply chain. It was agreed that he would not be selected to assist in bidder submission evaluations.

Circulation as above plus: Kirsty Watt & John Hegarty

			Action
1		Apologies	
	1.1	Received from: Richard Poundford.	Note
2		Minutes of meeting held on 7 th December 2009	
	2.1	Accepted as a true record.	Note
	2.2	Minor changes will be altered accordingly.	TBr
3		PQQ Evaluation Report	
	3.1	 a) The evaluation has been carried out. Evaluation results were tabled. b) It was pointed out that these are commercially confidential and should not be disclosed outside of project Board. c) Scores are at the expected level. 	Note Note
	3.2	PH stated that 3 Bidders are viewed as satisfactory at this stage.	Note
	3.3	PFS will only need to approve this process when we are down to the final Bidder.	Note
	3.4	LD stated that the BSF Team is now seeking approval from Board to proceed with the 3 Bidders. Board agreed however they do not hold decision making powers therefore a delegated decision form will be created and signed off today by KL (leader) and JH.	LD/Jł
	3.5	The evaluation process was explained in more detail by RP and JM.	Note
4		Updates	





Building schools for the future

	4.1	Academies	Nista		
		a) Closure arrangements of predecessor schools are now completed and consultation is	Note		
		progressing regarding the opening of the academies. b) The programme is being driven by lead sponsors and E.C. Harris; the project	Note		
		management company.	Note		
		c) The post for Principal Designate at North Stockton Academy has not been filled and will	JM		
		be readvertised.	0111		
		d) Both academies have an Education Working Group led by an education advisor	Note		
		appointed by DCSF and E.C. Harris.	11010		
	4.2	IPD1 Evaluation Plan			
		a) Evaluation plan explained by RP.	Note		
		b) RP/LD have discussed with Ian Miles the involvement of corporate IT in the evaluation	11010		
		process. Ian has agreed that corporate IT need to be kept in the loop but felt no need to	Note		
		attend the meetings. Andrea Warburton will represent lan at appropriate meetings.	11000		
		c) Paul Dobson accepted as designated Chair for the evaluation panel. RP will send PD the	PD/RP		
		schedule of meetings.			
	4.3	South of the Borough			
		a) A paper will be taken to Cabinet on 11 th Feb.	JM		
		b) 4 options were identified at the last CMT. The paper explores two variants for each	Note		
		option. Cabinet will be asked which options/variants they wish to be investigated further.			
		c) Community consultation will follow after further investigations have been carried out.	Note		
	4.4	CDA & Design Champion			
		a) Appointments are set up to interview potential CDA's these have been identified from the	LD/RP		
		CABE framework.			
		b) Mike Davison, Head teacher of Ian Ramsey School, has been identified as the project	LD		
		Design Champion			
5		RAG Report (tabled) & Risk Register			
	5.1	a) The Risk Register is being reviewed.	Note		
	3.1	b) The Rag report was tabled it is currently showing amber status.	NOLE		
	5.2	The creation of a BSF Data Room is currently high priority.	LD/TD:		
	7 4	The IPD Evaluation Matrix will be presented at the next Roard Meeting	LD/TBr		
	5.3	The IPD Evaluation Matrix will be presented at the next Board Meeting.	RP		
6	5.3	The IPD Evaluation Matrix will be presented at the next Board Meeting. Any other business			
6	6.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6		Any other business	RP		
6		Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to	RP		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated.	RP		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received	RP Note		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment.	RP Note		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration	Note LD		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration within the PQQ process; Board agreed that BSF team would liaise with a regeneration	RP Note		
6	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration	Note LD		
	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration within the PQQ process; Board agreed that BSF team would liaise with a regeneration representative and invite them along to the appropriate partnering sessions.	Note LD		
7	6.1 6.2 6.3	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration within the PQQ process; Board agreed that BSF team would liaise with a regeneration representative and invite them along to the appropriate partnering sessions. Next meeting	Note LD		
	6.1	Any other business Board members agreed Diocesan representation would be acceptable and adequate. LD to approach for one rep. a) An insurance advisor is required within the BSF process. Tenders have been received and are being evaluated. b) It was agreed that once an adviser has been selected the Project team have approval to process that appointment. With regard to an email sent by Richard Poundford requesting more involvement of regeneration within the PQQ process; Board agreed that BSF team would liaise with a regeneration representative and invite them along to the appropriate partnering sessions.	Note LD		