## **APPENDIX**

Date	Auth No.	Type of Investigation	Prosecution Details				
Directed Surveillance							
8 <sup>th</sup> April 2015 – 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2015.	TSL DS 039	Investigation under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 – online seller of UPTV boxes with added software allowing streaming of popular and usually subscribed Sky channels. It is an offence to supply a device or component primarily intended to enable the circumvention of technological measures. Surveillance of websites facilitating sales of UPTV devices to gather evidence of offence of advertising such devices.	File submitted to legal summons to be served in due course.				

Date	Auth No.	Type of Investigation	Prosecution Details			
Covert Surveillance (CHIS)						
21 <sup>st</sup> April 2015 – 20 <sup>th</sup> May 2015	CHIS /017	Investigation under the Trade Marks Act 1994. Facebook seller of counterfeit clothing CHIS required to message seller and attempt to purchase counterfeit items for examination by the Trade Mark Holder and evidence of the sales to show in the course of a trade or business. Attempts to message the seller have been unsuccessful in that the seller has failed to respond, details of the seller in terms of address remain unknown and evidence to show the seller is selling counterfeit items has not been secured on this occasion.	No further action.			
21 <sup>st</sup> April 2015 – 4 <sup>th</sup> August 2015	CHIS /018	Investigation under the Trade Marks Act 1994. Facebook seller of counterfeit clothing CHIS required to message seller and attempt to purchase counterfeit items for examination by the Trade Mark Holder and evidence of the sales to show in the course of a trade or business. Attempts to message the seller have been unsuccessful in that the seller has failed to respond, as such the CHIS was cancelled. Investigation is on-going as an external agency (Brand Holder) has secured a purchase of a counterfeit item from the Facebook seller and a search warrant has been executed.	Investigation on-going seller to be interviewed under PACE			

## **APPENDIX**

Date	Auth No.	Type of Investigation	Prosecution Details
21 <sup>st</sup> April 2105 – 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2015	CHIS/019	Investigation under the Trade Marks Act 1994. Facebook seller of counterfeit clothing CHIS required to message seller and attempt to purchase counterfeit items for examination by the Trade Mark Holder and evidence of the sales to show in the course of a trade or business. Attempts to message the seller have been unsuccessful in that the seller has failed to respond, details of the seller in terms of address remain unknown and evidence to show the seller is selling counterfeit items has not been secured on this occasion.	No further action
21 <sup>st</sup> April 2015 – 14 <sup>th</sup> September 2015	CHIS/020	Investigation under the Trade Marks Act 1994. Facebook seller of counterfeit clothing CHIS required to message seller and attempt to purchase counterfeit items for examination by the Trade Mark Holder and evidence of the sales to show in the course of a trade or business. Attempts to message the seller have been unsuccessful in that the seller has failed to respond, details of the seller in terms of address appear to have changed to the Middlesbrough area, investigation referred to Middlesbrough Trading Standards.	Investigation referred to Middlesbrough Trading Standards
20 <sup>th</sup> October 2015 – 1 <sup>st</sup> December 2015	CHIS/021	Investigation under the Trade Marks Act 1994 and Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 – seller on Facebook of DVD's and CD's. Test purchase secured and confirmed items to be in breach of legislation, sellers name and address identified. Search warrant of premises has taken place and awaiting analyst report of computers seized.	On-going.

## **APPENDIX**

Date	Auth No.	Type of Investigation	Prosecution Details				
Communications	Communications requests						
25 <sup>th</sup> August 2015	TELA/086	Investigation under the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008 and The Consumer Contracts (Information, Cancellation and Additional Charges) Regulations 2013. A consumer has been targeted by a property repair company who have carried out sub-standard works and failed to give appropriate details of the business in terms of name and address. The only information provided to assist with tracing the trader is a phone number.	No further action – insufficient information to proceed.				