












1. Attendance, Apologies & Governance.

SLSCB Members	Title	Representing	Other Interests: Stockton-on-Tees or Tees Valley Partnerships, Boards, Group etc. (Ch. denotes Chair, VCh Vice-Chair)	  Apols
Colin Morris (CM)	LSCB Independent Chair	SLSCB		
Pauline Beall (PB)	Business Manager		MALAP (Multi Agency Looked After Partnership)	
Lesley Cooke (LC)	Lay Member		Eastern Ravens Trust	Apols
Jo Thornhill (JT)	Lay Member		Middlesbrough College Lecturer Teesside University Lecturer	Apols
Jane Humphreys (JH)	Corporate Director of Children, Education & Social Care (CESC)	Local Authority	CCG Stockton Locality Board Member Stockton Local Executive Group Adult Safeguarding (Ch.) Hartlepool & Stockton CCG Board Member Health and Well Being Board (HWB) HWB Adult Partnership HWB Children's Partnership SMB – Public Protection Tees Adult Safeguarding Board TSVG Strategic Group Safer Stockton Partnership	
Lynda Brown (LB)	Head of Education, Early Years & Complex Needs and SBC / Spark of Genius Joint Venture: King Edwin School			Apols
Eric Jewitt (EJ)	SBC CESC Children's Workforce Manager / Chair Children's Workforce Sub-Group			
Peter Kelly (PK)	Director of Public Health			
Liz Hanley (LH)	Adult Services Lead		Health and Well Being Commissioning Group. Learning Disabilities Partnership (Ch.) Stockton Local Executive Group Adult Safeguarding;	
Shaun McLurg (SM)	Head of Children & Young People's Services / Chair Tees LSCB's Procedures Group / Chair SLSCB VEMT Sub-Group		CAF Board (Ch.) Children & Young People Health Wellbeing Commissioning Group Youth Offending Team Management Board Spark of Genius Children's Homes	
Julie Nixon (JN)	Head of Housing & Community Protection		Domestic violence Strategy Group, Health and Wellbeing Partnership Safer Stockton Partnership, SBC Adult Social Care Board, Welfare Reform Board	
Simon Willson (SW)	SBC CESC Head of Business Support & Improvement / Chair Performance Sub-Group		MALAP (Multi Agency Looked After Partnership) (Ch.)	

SLSCB Members	Title	Representing	Other Interests: Stockton-on-Tees or Tees Valley Partnerships, Boards, Group etc. (Ch. denotes Chair, VCh Vice-Chair)	  Apols
Cllr Ann McCoy (AM)	Lead Cabinet Member - Children and Young People (Participating Observer)			
Janice Deakin (JD)	Service Manager	CAFCASS		
Alistair Simpson (AS)	Detective Superintendent / Chair LIPSG	Cleveland Police		
Alex Taylor (AT)	Head Teacher Independent Schools	Education Establishments		
Claire Humble (CH)	Head Teacher Secondary Schools			
Kerry Coe (KC)	Head Teacher Primary Schools		Apols	
Joanna Bailey (JB)	Principal S'ton 6 th Form College		14-19 Partnership, Campus Stockton CPD Group Campus Stockton R&D Group Secondary Heads Group,	
Diane McConnell (DM)	SBC Chief Advisor School Effectiveness			
Alison Ferguson (AF)	Senior Safeguarding Children Officer	Hartlepool & Stockton Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)		
Jean Freund (JF)	Executive Nurse	Hartlepool & Stockton Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)		Apols
Kailash Agrawal (KA)	Designated Doctor <i>Advisor to the Board</i>			
Bev Walker (BW)	Deputy Director of Nursing, Quality and Safety	NHS England (Cumbria & North East)		Apols
Lindsey Robertson (LR)	Professional Lead Nurse, Out of Hospital Care	North Tees & Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust		
Chris Stanbury (CS)	Executive Director of Nursing and Governance	Tees, Esk & Wear Valley NHS Foundation Trust		
Julie Allan (JA)	Director of Offender Services -Durham & Tees Valley	Probation Services		Apols
Barbara Gill (BG)	Head of Offender Services - Community Rehabilitation Company		Apols	
Julie McNaughton (JM)	Accommodation Contracts Manager	Thirteen / Housing Provider		
Steve Rose (SR)	Chief Executive Officer Catalyst	Voluntary Sector	Safer Stockton Partnership, Stockton 14-19 Partnership, Stockton Carers Implementation Group, Stockton Health & Wellbeing Partnership Stockton VCSE Senior Leaders Forum, Stockton Voice, Stockton Youth Offenders Service Board, Tees Dementia Collaborative, Tees Valley Local Develop't Agencies Forum, Tees Valley Unlimited European Social Inclusion Task & Finish Group	

Minute Taker/Guests:	Nigel Hart – Democratic Services Team Leader		
Alison Ferguson (AF): (sub for Karen Hedgley)	Karen Blackburn (KB) – NPS Cleveland Area (sub for Julie Allan)	Cllr Ken Lupton (KL) (observer)	
Margaret Waggott (MW) – Head of Democratic Services			

Meeting Quorate:	Yes
-------------------------	-----

Declarations of Interest:	None
----------------------------------	------

Ref No. 1	Attendance, Apologies & Quoracy
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>AS (Detective Superintendent) was welcomed to the Board as the new representative for Cleveland Police following the retirement of Rob Donaghy in February 2015. AS is giving consideration as to whether he has sufficient capacity to serve as Chair of the Stockton LIPSG Group.</p> <p>Cllr Ken Lupton (KL) was also introduced to the Board as an observer to today's meeting.</p>
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Noted.

Ref No. 2	Action Log
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>PB advised that the SLSCB Action Log had been circulated for information, and that all actions remained on track.</p>
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Noted content of Action Log.

Ref No. 3	MACFA Facilitators Report & LIPSG Options Report (SLSCB Bus Plan 6d)
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>Consideration was given to the following reports circulated to the Board:-</p> <p>a) MACFA Facilitators Report</p> <p>AS referred to the issues raised during the recent MACFA audit of 2 CP cases using the agreed audit tool. It was noted that the timeframe for the audit was one year and considerable time was spent by agencies in the audit meeting piecing together the chronology of the case and identifying where the focus of discussion should be.</p> <p>The audit tool was also very detailed and whilst useful for single agencies to address process issues, it was felt to be too detailed and specific for a multi-agency discussion. An option for consideration was that a broader tool be identified requiring a chronology from each agency and a time frame that spanned the case; which could be discussed in a multi-agency forum. The facilitator had also advised that more guidance was required from the SLSCB as to what information was specifically required from the MACFA to provide the required professional challenge as at present it was difficult to identify multi agency strengths and lessons learned; eg the experience of the child due to the constraints of the current structure.</p> <p>AS advised that LIPSG would undertake further work to identify key themes that should be included within future MACFA audits and identify an appropriate tool that would best facilitate an approach based on an assessment of practice rather than procedure. It was also essential that the relevant professionals were involved in each audit and it was not always apparent from the beginning of the process as</p>

	<p>to who these should be, and therefore this process did not necessarily lend itself to a standing group each time. JH indicated that she would feed into this work the approach taken by North Yorkshire C.C. who had been Ofsted inspected.</p> <p>b) LIPSG Options Report (SLSCB Bus Plan 6d)</p> <p>The Board noted the options presented to LIPSG regarding who should take responsibility in the future for leading and monitoring the progression of MACFA audits and implementation and evaluation of the action plans. The preferred choice of LIPSG had been that the MACFA become a stand-alone sub-group however, it was suggested that a final decision be deferred pending the further report from LIPSG outlined above.</p>			
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	<p>Noted the content of the MACFA Facilitators Report and Options Paper for carrying out future MACFA Audits and a decision be deferred pending receipt of a further report to the SLSCB on the most appropriate approach to be taken in the future that would best incorporate the views of the child as well as providing the necessary professional challenge.</p>			
<i>Log Ref</i>	<i>Mtg Date</i>	<i>Action Required</i>	<i>Person Responsible</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
109/03/1415	19.03.15	LIPSG report on proposals regarding MACFA Audits be submitted to SLSCB.	AS	16.04.15
110/03/1415	19.03.15	The approach taken by North Yorkshire C.C. be provided for inclusion within the LIPSG report.	JH	30.03.15 ✓

<i>Ref No. 4</i>	SLSCB Development Day
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>The Board were invited to discuss the content of their proposed Development Day scheduled for 18th June 2015 and to make suggestions as to how the programme should be shaped.</p> <p>It was noted that the intended external facilitator for the event was now no longer available and therefore an alternative facilitator who had had no previous involvement with any of the partner agencies would need to be identified.</p> <p>Members supported the event taking place as planned with a number of new members of the Board having taken up post and it was noted that it would provide a useful stocktake of how the Board was functioning in advance of the Scrutiny Review of the LSCB commencing in June 2015 (details around specification still to be determined). It was suggested that the format for the event should include 'round table' discussions allowing the opportunity for challenge and discussion of each partner agencies involvement. This could also include consideration of relevant case studies and a review of any 'repeat messages' identified by the LIPSG Group within the last two years.</p> <p>Other areas suggested were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Roles & Function of Board Members ▪ Learning or not from messages; local, regional and national ▪ How can we / do we influence or change ▪ What will our message be regarding effectiveness when inspected. <p>It was proposed that the following Sub Group be tasked with formulating a draft agenda for the event:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ JH ▪ CM ▪ KA ▪ CS

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ JM ▪ PB 			
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	The SLSCB Development Day be confirmed for 18 th June 2015.			
<i>Log Ref</i>	<i>Mtg Date</i>	<i>Action Required</i>	<i>Person Responsible</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
111/03/1415	19.03.15	The Sub Group be tasked with formulating a draft agenda for the event.	JH, CM,KA, CS, JM, PB	16.04.15
112/03/1415	19.03.15	An external facilitator be sought for the event.	CM	27.04.15

Ref No. 5	Letter from Association of Independent LSCB Chairs-Request for Financial Support			
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>CM referred to the circulated report from the Association of Independent LSCB Chairs (AILC) requesting financial support from SLSCB to the sum of £1,500.</p> <p>The benefits for members of the AILC were outlined, along with achievements it had made since its inception. It was noted that without more core funding, AILC may be forced to cease and therefore it had written to all LSCB's requesting financial support.</p> <p>CM advised that he himself was a paid up member of AILC and shared with members some of the benefits he had experienced, which included peer support around decisions not to pursue Serious Case Reviews; as well as a repository of SCR information that was accessible to Chairs and would also now be made available to Business Managers.</p> <p>Members noted that the future viability of AILC would be dependent upon it receiving the necessary financial support from all LSCB's. It was therefore proposed that the SLSCB support for the request be approved for an initial one year period and be reviewed thereafter.</p>			
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	The sum of £1,500 be provided to AILC for an initial one year period and be reviewed thereafter.			
<i>Log Ref</i>	<i>Mtg Date</i>	<i>Action Required</i>	<i>Person Responsible</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
113/03/1415	19.03.15	Confirmation of agreement to pay £1,500 be made to AILC in respect of Chairs support for 2015/16.	PB	23.03.15 ✓

Ref No. 6	Review of Childrens Services			
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>JH presented the content of her Review of Delivery Arrangements for Children's Services, which were currently out for consultation until 2nd April following approval by Cabinet/Council.</p> <p>JH advised that the proposals had come about following 1 – 1 sessions held with 29 staff and were considered necessary to address:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity • Succession Planning • Resilience Moving Forward • Strengthening and Improving Quality and Outcomes for Children's Services • Response to reduction in Education Support Grant and changing role of LA in relation to schools. <p>It was noted that a significant number of staff seen were over 50/55 years old, with few indicating a wish to work beyond 60 and no member of staff had expressed an interest in succession to Corporate Director level in the future.</p> <p>The proposals sought to delete the 3 current Heads of Service posts; to be replaced with</p>			

	<p>3 new Heads of Service as follows, each taking responsibility for their own Quality Assurance, Performance, Outcomes and Inspection Preparation and Readiness, as well as taking the lead in a number of areas:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Head of Safeguarding and Looked After Children • Head of Early Intervention, Partnership and Planning • Head of Schools and SEN <p>The structures for each were noted, with the LSCB Business Manager including LSCB Training Lead being located within the Early Intervention, Partnership and Planning area. The proposals would also see functions moving to other Heads of Service, notably:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children’s Commissioning transferring to Strategic Manager in Director of Public Health Service • Governance Team and Functions transferring to Head of Policy, Improvement and Engagement subject to review after 3 months • Business Support and Information/Intelligence Teams moving to line management of Head of Transactional Services for 12 months initially • Workforce Development transferring to Adult Services. <p>CM noted that the LSCB had received a number of important support functions from CESC particularly related to the LSCB performance scorecard and confirmed the discussions he had had with both NS and JHELMUM around the importance of this continuing.</p>
<p><i>Agreement/ Outcome</i></p>	<p>The proposals contained within the Corporate Director of Children, Education & Social Care’s Review of Delivery Arrangements for Children’s Services be noted.</p>

<p><i>Ref No. 7</i></p>	<p>Section 11 Audit Returns</p>
<p><i>Discussion</i></p>	<p>The Board noted completion by partners of the following Section 11 Audits, containing their self-assessments of how they compared to the required standards with appropriate challenge being made regarding assurance of compliance:-</p> <p>a) Children, Education & Social Care Full compliance noted. No actions required. No challenge made.</p> <p>b) Hartlepool & Stockton CCG Actions required against standards 1.1, 1.4, 2.1, 3.1.</p> <p>Members noted the identified further action to be made in order to achieve full compliance and expressed concern that appointments to both the Designated Doctor post for LAC and the Designated Nurse role had yet to be made. The Board had previously written to Hartlepool & Stockton CCG requesting that appointments be made at the earliest opportunity.</p> <p>KA advised that the Job Description for the Designated Doctor post was currently with the CCG awaiting their approval, whilst the Job Description for the Designated Nurse post was expected to be approved shortly and the post advertised June/July.</p> <p>c) National Probation Service, Cleveland Actions required against standards 5.1, 5.7 included in the action plan</p> <p>It was noted that actions 2.1 & 2.3, which were dependent upon a new NPS process management system being in place, were required to be added to the Action Plan.</p> <p>d) Public Health Actions required against standards 1.6, 2.3, 4.2, 6.7, 6.8, 7.1, 7.7 included in the action plan.</p>

	<p>PK confirmed the current status of Public Health representation on the various VEMT Groups which included a presence on both the Tees Strategic VEMT and the Stockton VEMT Group and advised that he would review with SMcL the need for any further involvement.</p>			
	<p>e) Tees, Esk & Wear Valley NHS Full compliance noted. No actions required. No challenge made.</p>			
	<p>f) Thirteen Group Full compliance reported.</p> <p>JM advised that although full compliance was reported further work would be undertaken in respect of current consultations regarding policies and procedures. The Group also planned to engage with the available multi-agency training and E-Learning programmes.</p>			
	<p>g) North Tees & Hartlepool Foundation Trust Actions required against standards 1.2, 7.4 linked to internal communications and increasing uptake of CAF</p>			
	<p>Members noted the different extent of information provided within the S11 Audits by different partner organisations. PB referred to her recent correspondence requesting names from each partner organisation for members of staff that required training on the new Audit toolkit purchased from Virtual College and stressed the importance it placed on agencies evidencing their responses to the audit questions.</p> <p>CM advised that the remaining S11 audits, including those of the Police and VCS, would be reported to the Board in due course with the Police scheduled for the April meeting.</p>			
Agreement/ Outcome	Content of the S11 Audits be noted and the necessary further amendments be made by authors and submitted to the Business Manager.			
Log Ref	Mtg Date	Action Required	Person Responsible	Due Date
114/03/1415	19.03.15	The concerns of the Board regarding the delay in making appointments to the Designated Doctor post for LAC and the Designated Nurse role be conveyed to Hartlepool & Stockton CCG by way of letter from SLSCB Chair	PB ✓	16.04.15

Ref No. 8	Finance 2014/15
Discussion	<p>The Board noted the income and expenditure for the SLSCB accounts for the period April 2014-February 2015.</p> <p>PB advised that income had been received from all partners that provided core funding and the majority of invoices expected had been received with only a few outstanding. A report on the 2015/16 SLSCB budget would be submitted in due course.</p>
Agreement/ Outcome	Noted position regarding the income and expenditure for the SLSCB accounts for the period April 2014-February 2015.

Ref No. 9	Progress Monitoring of SLSCB Business Plan & CESC SiP Plan
Discussion	<p>PB presented Monitoring Progress of both the SLSCB Business Plan and CESC Safeguarding Improvement Plan; some of the actions of which were contained within both plans.</p> <p>Members were invited to determine whether some actions for the SLSCB could now be signed off as complete or set revised timescales for completion, and ensure that the out-</p>

	<p>come for actions included within both plans were the same.</p> <p>Progress on each of the actions requiring review were confirmed as follows based on RAG rating:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLSCB Ref 1c Action complete-SIP to be amended to green; • SLSCB Ref 2a Action complete-Business Plan and SIP to be amended to green; • SLSCB Ref 2b Progress retained as amber subject to sign off at Tees Procedures; • SLSCB Ref 2d Action complete-SIP to be amended to green; • SLSCB Ref 4a Action retained as red. JH to consider appointment of an appropriate Chair of Task Group with a knowledge of Care Planning; • SLSCB Ref 4c Action be retained as amber in both Plans due to CP Plan template not yet been sufficiently embedded; • SLSCB Ref 4d Actions confirmed as amber on both Plans; • SLSCB Ref 5a Action complete-Business Plan and SIP to be amended to green; • SLSCB Ref 5b Action complete-Business Plan and SIP to be amended to green; • SLSCB Ref 6a Action be retained as amber in both Plans-update to be provided to CYPMT; • SLSCB Ref 6b Action be retained as amber in both Plans; • SLSCB Ref 6c Action be retained as amber in both Plans; • SLSCB Ref 6d Action be retained as amber in both Plans; • SIP Ref 7d Action be retained as amber and matter be referred to Tees Procedures Group for consideration. <p>JH advised she would arrange for the SiP to be updated.</p>
--	---

<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Revisions to progress against both the SLSCB Business Plan and CESC Safeguarding Improvement Plan be noted.			
<i>Log Ref</i>	<i>Mtg Date</i>	<i>Action Required</i>	<i>Person Responsible</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
115/03/1415	19.03.15	Revisions be made to the SLSCB Business Plan	Business Unit	16.04.15
116/03/1415	19.03.15	SIP Ref 7d-Introduction of separate conference reports from partner agencies be referred to the Tees Procedures Group for consideration.	SM	10.04.15

<i>Ref No. 10</i>	Hartlepool & Stockton 2015/2016 Joint Training Programme
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>EJ referred to the outline Joint Training and Development Programme that was currently being developed for 2015/16. The Programme had previously been approved by the SLSCB and had now been approved by the HLSCB. It was expected that delivery of the Programme itself would commence post Easter 2015.</p> <p>It was noted that interim arrangements were currently in place regarding the position of Chair of the Joint Training Group; however, the position would be confirmed after the next meeting.</p> <p>EJ confirmed that the cancellation cost for any course not attended was £50.</p>
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	The Joint Hartlepool & Stockton-on-Tees LSCBs Safeguarding and Child Protection Training and Development Programme for 2015/16 be approved.

Ref No. 11	Partners Operational Safeguarding Issues
Discussion	<p><u>Voluntary Sector</u> The first Safeguarding network meeting had been held on 17th March 2015 with attendees ranging from workers to people who were representatives on Management Committees – SM advised that he had attended the session and found it to be a most positive experience and applauded the contribution of those that had attended. PB who also attends the meetings on behalf of SLSCB concurred with this and felt this was a positive move forward.</p> <p><u>Public Health</u> Head of Service responsibility for the management of Trading Standards/Licensing would transfer to MW (Head of Democratic Services) from 1st April. A review was currently being undertaken of Service Plans to ensure Safeguarding Children was embedded within each.</p> <p><u>CESC</u> PB confirmed that the CYP Select Committee CSE Scrutiny Review would be reported to the SLSCB in April.</p> <p>DM referred to the impact of the recent Child Care legislation on schools and under 8's in particular. PB advised that a summary of the changes introduced would be included within the next E-bulletin. AT advised that Independent Schools had also been affected by new legislative changes concerning their policies and procedures.</p> <p><u>TEWV</u> CS referred to a national audit of the use of physical restraint in mental health services. The figures for the February audit were likely to be published in the near future and the figures for TEWV NHSFT for the In-patient Children and Young Peoples' services in West Lane Middlesbrough (to which Stockton children were admitted) was amongst the highest in the country (and nationwide the figures for children and young people's services were the highest of all services).</p> <p>The facility hosted the CYPS Eating Disorder Services, plus the CYPS MH Assessment and Treatment in-patient unit plus a low secure CYPS service (one of three in the country) and therefore had an in-patient population of some of the most distressed and disturbed children and young people. The levels of self-harm in the CYPS population nationally were very high, locally three of the boroughs TEWV covers were in the top 5 nationally for the levels of self-harm and it was known from analysis of restraint figures that the vast majority of usage was to prevent serious self-harm.</p> <p>AM advised that the importance of training and awareness regards Female Genital Mutilation had recently been raised at the last NEREO meeting.</p> <p><u>14-19 Partnership</u> JB advised that Stockton 6th Form College now had in place a Safeguarding lead and that the training provided to them had been excellent. The College had also raised more than one CAF within the recent month.</p>
Agreement/ Outcome	Updates noted.

Ref No. 12	Joint Departmental Government Letter-Information Sharing
Discussion	<p>PB referred to the circulated joint letter received from DoH, DCLG, Home Office and Ministry of Justice regarding the need for joint commitment to share information effectively for the protection of children.</p> <p>It was noted that the next E-bulletin contained advice on the importance of information sharing across the Tees. It was suggested that the issue also be considered as part of the</p>

	SLSCB Development Day in June.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	The content of the letter be noted and the issue be included within discussions during the SLSCB Development Day in June.

Ref No. 13	Letter from Office of the Chief Social Worker-Tackling CSE-Review of Assessment & Decision Making Tools
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>The Board noted the circulated letter received from the DoE Office of the Chief Social Worker suggesting LSCB's review their current assessment and decision making tools.</p> <p>SM referred to the recent introduction of the new Safer Referral Form and Risk Assessment tool, along with the Tees wide Missing from Home protocol; each of which had helped to strengthen the tools and procedures that were currently in place.</p> <p>AS advised on the need for the Police to take on board the recent findings and experience reported by MLSCB to ensure that any arrangements resulting were standardised across the Tees.</p>
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Assurance of risk assessment tools being used noted.

Ref No. 14	19.02.15 Board Minutes for Accuracy			
<i>Discussion</i>	<p>Minutes of the Board meeting held on the 19th February 2015 were agreed as a true record.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ref No. 2 (Staff Engagement Session) – Log Ref. 47/10/1415 – PB to circulate a weekly update on confirmed attendees at each session; Ref No. 3 (SLSCB Vice Chair)- Discussion took place regarding the decision made at the last meeting for LC to be Vice Chair to the Board and whether further consideration is needed. JH concurred that the role may be more appropriate for a strategic partner to undertake, particularly as they may be required to meet with Ofsted should the Chair be unavailable. It was suggested that the approach taken by other LSCB's in the region be confirmed and JH indicated that she would raise this issue at the next Tees Valley Directors meeting and report back. 			
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	The minutes of the Board meeting held on the 19 th February 2015 be recorded as ratified.			
<i>Log Ref</i>	<i>Mtg Date</i>	<i>Action Required</i>	<i>Person Responsible</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
117/03/1415	19.03.15	Ref No. 2 (Staff Engagement Session) – Log Ref. 47/10/1415 – PB to circulate a weekly update confirmed attendees at each session	Business Unit	16.04.15
118/03/1415	19.03.15	Ref No. 3 (SLSCB Vice Chair position) – the approach taken by other LSCB's in the region be confirmed at the next meeting of the Tees Valley Directors. NB Email subsequently sent out advising AS as Vice Chair.	JH	16.04.15

Ref No. 15	Tees LSCB's VEMT Strategic Group
<i>Discussion</i>	AS referred members to the circulated Tees Strategic Vulnerable, Exploited, Missing and Trafficked Children (VEMT) Group Quality Assurance and Performance Framework.

	<p>The Framework set out how the Partnership would measure the effectiveness of the VEMT arrangements in supporting and protecting children and young people utilising information gathered on a quarterly basis by each of the LSCB VEMT Sub Groups that will then be collated and considered by the Tees Strategic VEMT Group.</p> <p>PB referred to the enclosed VEMT campaign material entitled 'In the Wrong Hands'. The material would be launched officially within the Tees on the 18th May and partners were encouraged to confirm with the Business Manager how much of the campaign material they required.</p>
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Update noted.

Ref No. 16	SLSCB VEMT Sub-Group
<i>Discussion</i>	SM referred to the circulated VEMT Sub-Group Chairs Update Report for SLSCB. It was noted that VEMT data collected over several quarters was required before any meaningful comparison could be made however, the initial figures collated for Q3 2014/15 would be scrutinised for any anomalies.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Update noted.

Ref No. 17	SLSCB LIPSG
<i>Discussion</i>	There was nothing further to add to the LIPSG Options Report considered earlier by the Board.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Update noted.

Ref No. 18	SLSCB Performance Sub Group
<i>Discussion</i>	No report available as SLSCB Performance Group had not met since last update presented.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Noted.

Ref No. 19	Tees LSCB's Procedures Group
<i>Discussion</i>	PB referred to the circulated Tees LSCB's Procedures Group Update Report for SLSCB.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Update noted.

Ref No. 20	Tees CDOP
<i>Discussion</i>	No report available as SLSCB Performance Group had not met since last update presented. The issue in respect of the provision of administration support for Rapid Response was still ongoing.
<i>Agreement/ Outcome</i>	Noted.

Ref No. 21	Any Other Business
<i>Discussion</i>	a) Tees LSCBs Procedures Approval of the following Procedures previously circulated to the Board was consid-

	<p>ered and agreed:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3.11.35-Child Sexual Exploitation; ▪ Multi Agency Standards for Case Recording; ▪ 3.6.10-Child Protection Conference Reports
	<p>b) Attendance at Board Meetings Recent lack of attendance by the Secondary Head representation at Board meetings was questioned. DM advised that she would enquire further regards Secondary Heads representation at SLSCB.</p>
	<p>c) Future Board Meetings Members noted that future meetings of the Board would commence at 9.00am with effect from 21st May 2015. The next meeting of the SLSCB would be a Special Meeting held on 16th April 2015 at 10.00am to consider the SCR Harry Final Report.</p>
Agreement/ Outcome	<p>The following Procedures be approved:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3.11.35-Child Sexual Exploitation; ▪ Multi Agency Standards for Case Recording; ▪ 3.6.10-Child Protection Conference Reports