**AGENDA ITEM** 

**REPORT TO CABINET** 

9 OCTOBER 2014

#### REPORT OF THE RETURNING OFFICER

# CABINET DECISION

# Corporate Management and Finance – Lead Cabinet Member – Councillor David Harrington

#### **REVIEW OF POLLING DISTRICTS AND POLLING PLACES 2014**

#### 1. <u>Summary</u>

This report sets out the existing arrangements for polling districts and places and proposed changes taking into account the submission of the Returning Officer and feedback from public consultation.

#### 2. <u>Recommendations</u>

Cabinet are asked to consider and recommend changes to Polling Districts and Polling Places to Council on 22 October 2014.

#### 3. <u>Reasons for the Recommendations/Decision(s)</u>

To meet a statutory requirement requiring Councils to carry out a Polling District and Places Review every five years; to take account of feedback from electoral events, electorate projections and consultation feedback.

#### 4. <u>Members' Interests</u>

Members (including co-opted Members) should consider whether they have a personal interest in any item, as defined in **paragraphs 9 and 11** of the Council's code of conduct and, if so, declare the existence and nature of that interest in accordance with and/or taking account of **paragraphs 12 - 17** of the code.

Where a Member regards him/herself as having a personal interest, as described in **paragraph 16** of the code, in any business of the Council he/she must then, **in accordance with paragraph 18** of the code, consider whether that interest is one which a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest and the business:-

• affects the members financial position or the financial position of a person or body described in **paragraph 17** of the code, or

• relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to the member or any person or body described in **paragraph 17** of the code.

A Member with a personal interest, as described in **paragraph 18** of the code, may attend the meeting but must not take part in the consideration and voting upon the relevant item of business. However, a member with such an interest may make representations, answer questions or give evidence relating to that business before the business is considered or voted on, provided the public are also allowed to attend the meeting for the same purpose whether under a statutory right or otherwise **(paragraph 19** of the code**)** 

Members may participate in any discussion and vote on a matter in which they have an interest, as described in **paragraph18** of the code, where that interest relates to functions of the Council detailed in **paragraph 20** of the code.

#### **Disclosable Pecuniary Interests**

It is a criminal offence for a member to participate in any discussion or vote on a matter in which he/she has a disclosable pecuniary interest (and where an appropriate dispensation has not been granted) **paragraph 21** of the code.

Members are required to comply with any procedural rule adopted by the Council which requires a member to leave the meeting room whilst the meeting is discussing a matter in which that member has a disclosable pecuniary interest (**paragraph 22** of the code).

**AGENDA ITEM** 

#### REPORT TO CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM

# 9 OCTOBER 2014

# **REPORT OF THE RETURNING OFFICER**

### **REVIEW OF POLLING DISTRICTS AND POLLING PLACES 2014**

#### SUMMARY

This report sets out the existing arrangements for polling districts and places and proposed changes taking into account the submission of the Returning Officer and feedback from public consultation.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Cabinet are asked to consider and recommend changes to Polling Districts and Polling Places to Council on 22 October 2014.

#### DETAIL

1. Each Local Authority is required to carry out reviews of Polling Districts and Polling Places in its area.

2. The Electoral Registration and Administration Act 2013 changed the timing of these reviews and the next review must be held between 1 October 2013 and 31 January 2015 with subsequent reviews held within a 16 month period starting on 1 October every fifth year after 1 October 2013. Ward boundaries are unaffected by the review.

3. The Council's last review was held in 2011 when a number of minor changes were made.

4. A Council's area is divided into polling districts with one or more districts making up a ward, with a polling place being the area or building within a polling district in which a polling station is situated.

5. The review considered:

- The boundaries of polling districts for example, are they well defined? Do they follow natural boundaries? Are there suitable transport links? Are there any obstacles to crossing polling district boundaries?
- Polling Places the location, size and accessibility of the polling place and its availability now and in the future
- Polling Stations the suitability and accessibility of the room or area for use as a polling station

6. In addition to the above considerations, during the European Elections 2014, the opportunity was taken to complete the Electoral Commission's evaluation templates in order to assess the suitability/ accessibility of the polling place/ station. Presiding Officers were also asked to record any complaints/ comments received.

7. Tees Valley Unlimited also provided electorate projections for the current polling district boundaries in each year and up to December 2018. This takes into account new housing and demolitions.

8. The Returning Officer's submission was published on 7 July 2014 and summarised the existing arrangements, key issues identified from the recent European Elections, any notable projected changes in electorate and made proposals for changes to polling districts/ places.

9. The proposals sought to combine polling districts where electorate was low, identify more appropriate polling places where adverse comments have been received on the location/ suitability of current venues and identify alternatives to using mobile stations which are expensive and unpopular with voters. The proposals took into account Electoral Commission Guidance that the number of electors allocated to a particular polling station should not exceed 2,500 not including postal voters.

10. The Returning Officers proposals resulted in an overall reduction of twelve polling districts/stations (including two mobile stations) and changes to two further polling places.

11. More detailed comments from the European Elections in relation to the suitability of existing polling stations will be taken into account in the planning for future electoral events.

# CONSULATION

12. The Statutory Notice publicising the review was displayed on/ sent to:

- Website
- Libraries
- Community Pharmacies
- GP Practice Managers
- Healthwatch
- Tees Active Leisure Centres
- Community Centres/ Village Halls

13. In addition, the Statutory Notice and the Returning Officer's submission was displayed/ sent to:

- Municipal Buildings
- Website (with accompanying plans)
- All Councillors
- MPs
- Parish Councils
- Disability Groups/ BME/faith community
- Other interested persons/ bodies

14. All correspondence sent to the Returning Officer as part of the review has been published on the Council's website. The table below contains a summary of the comments received and comments/revised proposals in response to the consultation feedback.

| Ward                            | Feedback   | Comments/   |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
|                                 |  | Revised Proposals   |
| Bishopsgarth<br>and Elm<br>Tree | <i>Ward Councillor:</i><br>Support for combining BET 3, 4 and 5<br>Suggestions made for alternative venues to<br>replace the mobile polling station in BET 1   | Identify alternative to mobile station for BET 1  |
| Eaglescliffe                    | <i>Eaglescliffe Parish Council:</i><br>Support for the use of Eaglescliffe<br>Community Centre as an alternative polling<br>station in EG 2, 5 and 6   | Confirm proposed<br>change  |
|                                 | Preston Parish Council:<br>Support for existing polling arrangements   | No change   |
| Grangefield                     | Ward Councillors:<br>GF1 - Happy to use Stockton Town<br>Football Club.  | Confirm use of Stockton<br>Town Football Club   |
|                                 | GF3 - Unhappy about the use of<br>Grangefield Youth and Community Centre<br>due to being difficult to access and the path<br>up to the centre is dark at night – would<br>prefer to use the Media Centre at<br>Grangefield Academy | Continue to use<br>Grangefield Youth and<br>Community Centre as<br>the Media Centre is<br>further for electors to<br>travel to vote   |
|                                 | GF4 – Recommend continued use of<br>Hartburn Sea Scouts as it is too far to<br>travel to GF3 and improvements have been<br>made in the last few months.  | Retain GF3 and GF4 as<br>separate polling districts<br>and continue to use<br>Hartburn Sea Scouts   |
| Hartburn                        | Ward Councillors:<br>Suggest dividing HB2 with electorate<br>allocated to HB1 and HB3 which would<br>remove the need for a mobile in HB2   | Divide HB2 at Birkdale<br>Road with electors on<br>the east side voting at<br>Elmwood Community<br>Centre (HB1) and<br>electors on the west side<br>voting at Holy Trinity<br>Primary School (HB3). |
| Mandale and<br>Victoria         | <i>Resident:</i><br>Concerns about use of Thornaby Academy<br>due to building works  | Building works now<br>completed<br>Confirm no change  |
| Newtown                         | Resident:<br>Request to alter ward boundaries between<br>Grangefield and Newtown which cannot be<br>altered as part of the polling district review   | Cannot amend ward boundaries as part of this review   |
| Norton North                    | Ward Councillors<br>Objections to combining NN2 and NN3 on<br>the basis that it would involve substantially<br>increased travelling distances for a large  | Retain original polling arrangements  |

|                         | number of residents  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|
|                         |  |  |
|                         | Bluehall Residents Association:<br>Objections to combining NN2 and NN3 on<br>the basis that residents outside of Norton<br>Grange will not attend a polling station<br>located on the Norton Grange estate   |  |
| Norton<br>South         | Ward Councillors:<br>Objection to combining NS3 and NS4 on<br>the basis of Norton Road being too far for<br>residents of Albany Estate to walk to vote   | Retain original polling arrangements   |
| Norton West             | Ward Councillors:<br>Need to find an alternative polling place to<br>replace the Education Centre for future<br>elections  | Identify a suitable site for<br>a mobile polling station   |
| Roseworth               | Ward Councillors:<br>Object to proposed changes and suggest<br>that RW3 and RW5 are combined instead<br>with Kiora Hall continuing to be used at the<br>polling place  | Combine polling<br>districts RW3 and RW5<br>continuing to use Kiora<br>Hall as the polling place |
| Stainsby Hill           | Ward Councillors and Thornaby Town<br>Council:<br>Object to combining SH3 and SH4 on the<br>basis that residents will find it difficult to<br>travel to Thornaby Central Library and that<br>The Roundel has nearby parking making it<br>more convenient for disabled voters | Retain original polling<br>arrangements  |
| Stockton<br>Town Centre | Stockton Parish Church Council have offered the parish church hall as a polling station  | Not required at this time.   |
|                         | <i>Ward Councillor:</i><br>Objects to combining STC2 and STC3 in<br>view of the distance between both<br>communities   | Retain Original Polling<br>Arrangements  |
| Village                 | Resident:<br>Comments that the village school (the old<br>polling station) was a more accessible<br>polling place for residents of the Green<br>Lane area (particularly elderly residents)<br>than the existing polling station at the<br>Cricket Club                       | School unavailable for<br>use  |
| Western<br>Parishes     | The Wilson Centre comment that the use<br>of the existing Youth and Community<br>Centre is unlikely to be available in the<br>future and offer the use of the Wilson<br>Centre as an alternative venue   | The Wilson Centre to be<br>used as alternative<br>polling station                                |

| Yarm <i>Levendale Primary School</i> has indicated Iden<br>that the school will not be available for<br>future elections due to disruption and<br>safeguarding concerns. |  |
|--|--|
|--|--|

# **REVISED PROPOSALS**

15. Existing arrangements for all wards and the final proposals of the Returning Officer following consultation are attached at **Appendix 1**. The final proposals result in a reduction of seven polling districts/stations, a reduction of one mobile station and three further changes to polling places.

| Name of Contact Officer: | Margaret Waggott                          |
|--------------------------|---|
| Telephone No:            | 01642 527064                              |
| Email Address:           | <u>margaret. waggott@stockton.gov.uk.</u> |
| Name of Contact Officer: | ludy Trainer                              |

| Name of Contact Officer: | Judy Trainer                   |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Telephone No:            | 01642 528158                   |
| Email Address:           | judith.trainer@stockton.gov.uk |

Background Papers: Ward(s) and Ward Councillors: Property Implications: None Not Ward Specific None