CABINET ITEM COVERING SHEET PROFORMA

AGENDA ITEM

REPORT TO CABINET

13 MARCH 2014

REPORT OF CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM

CABINET DECISION

Children and Young People - Lead Cabinet Member - Councillor Mrs McCoy

CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE ACTIVITY AND PERFORMANCE

1. Summary

In light of the Ofsted inspection of child protection in January 2013, it has been decided to review the content and format of future children's social care reports to Cabinet.

In addition to a range of measures to illustrate the pressures experienced by the service, a number of performance indicators will also now be included so that Cabinet can more closely monitor the impact of these pressures on performance and outcomes for children.

As a way of achieving this, the use of a 'process model' was approved by Cabinet on 13 June 2013.

Given the importance and profile of these issues it has been agreed that the new activity and performance reports are brought to Cabinet on a bimonthly basis ie every alternate Cabinet.

This report is based on the available data at the end of quarter 3 (31 December 2013).

2. Recommendations

Cabinet is requested to:

- 1. Note the continued workload pressures and associated activity in the children's social care system and the consequent impact this is having on both performance and budget.
- 2. Receive further update reports on a bi monthly basis in order to continue to monitor children's social care activity and performance.

3. Reasons for the Recommendations/Decision(s)

There are significant and continuing pressures in the children's social care system which could potentially impact on the Council's ability to effectively safeguard children, fulfil statutory duties and remain within allocated budget.

4. Members' Interests

Members (including co-opted Members) should consider whether they have a personal interest in any item, as defined in paragraphs 9 and 11 of the Council's code of conduct and, if so, declare the existence and nature of that interest in accordance with and/or taking account of paragraphs 12 - 17 of the code.

Where a Member regards him/herself as having a personal interest, as described in paragraph 16 of the code, in any business of the Council he/she must then, in accordance with paragraph 18 of the code, consider whether that interest is one which a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest and the business:-

- affects the members financial position or the financial position of a person or body described in paragraph 17 of the code, or
- relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to the member or any person or body described in paragraph 17 of the code.

A Member with a personal interest, as described in **paragraph 18** of the code, may attend the meeting but must not take part in the consideration and voting upon the relevant item of business. However, a member with such an interest may make representations, answer questions or give evidence relating to that business before the business is considered or voted on, provided the public are also allowed to attend the meeting for the same purpose whether under a statutory right or otherwise **(paragraph 19** of the code**)**

Members may participate in any discussion and vote on a matter in which they have an interest, as described in **paragraph18** of the code, where that interest relates to functions of the Council detailed in **paragraph 20** of the code.

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests

It is a criminal offence for a member to participate in any discussion or vote on a matter in which he/she has a disclosable pecuniary interest (and where an appropriate dispensation has not been granted) **paragraph 21** of the code.

Members are required to comply with any procedural rule adopted by the Council which requires a member to leave the meeting room whilst the meeting is discussing a matter in which that member has a disclosable pecuniary interest (paragraph 22 of the code).

AGENDA ITEM

REPORT TO CABINET

13 MARCH 2014

REPORT OF CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM

CABINET DECISION

CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE ACTIVITY AND PERFORMANCE

SUMMARY

In light of the Ofsted inspection of child protection in January 2013, it has been decided to review the content and format of future children's social care reports to Cabinet.

In addition to a range of measures to illustrate the pressures experienced by the service, a number of performance indicators will also now be included so that Cabinet can more closely monitor the impact of these pressures on performance and outcomes for children.

As a way of achieving this, the use of a 'process model' was approved by Cabinet on 13 June 2013.

Given the importance and profile of these issues it has been agreed that the new activity and performance reports are brought to Cabinet on a bimonthly basis ie every alternate Cabinet.

This report is based on the available data at the end of quarter 3 (31 December 2013).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Cabinet is requested to:

- Note the continued workload pressures and associated activity in the children's social care system and the consequent impact this is having on both performance and budget.
- 2. Receive further update reports on a bi monthly basis in order to continue to monitor children's social care activity and performance.

Background

- This revised format for reporting to Cabinet attempts to show the range of key factors that impact on the levels of activity, workload pressures and performance in children's social care.
- 2. The attached template data is designed to illustrate the following key elements:

Inputs

These measures record the flow of business into the social care system, the level/complexity of activity and the extent to which other agencies are impacting on this activity. The key measures are as follows:

- Number of contacts made with children's social care
- Number of contacts that become referrals for assessment
- Number of referrals by agency/number that do not meet social care threshold
- Referral reasons eg domestic violence, substance misuse
- Number of Common Assessment Framework (CAF) 2s by agency
- Number/proportion of contacts with an active CAF
- Number/proportion of contacts which are closed and logged
- Number/proportion of referrals resulting in no further action (NFA)

Processes

These measures relate to the efficiency and effectiveness of services in managing the business ie the way in which business is conducted to assess needs, make decisions about support required and keep cases under review. The key measures are as follows:

- Number and timeliness of assessments
- Number and proportion of referrals that result in Section 47 (Child Protection) enquiries
- Number and timeliness of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs)
- Timeliness of Child Protection (CP) CP Reviews
- Attendance of children and young people at ICPCs and CP Reviews
- Attendance of children and young people at Looked After Children (LAC) Reviews
- Number/proportion of Care Applications to Court
- Number/proportion of LAC on Section 20 (voluntary accommodation) or Legal Orders
- Workforce composition

Outputs

These indicators are proxies for how effective processes have been in delivering results, which in turn should lead to positive outcomes for the children and young people concerned. The key measures are as follows:

- Numbers of children in need (CiN)/CP/LAC
- Re-referral rates
- Second or subsequent CP Plans
- CP plans 2 years+
- Number/proportion of those stepping down from CP Plan to CAF
- LAC Placement stability (number of placement moves both short and long term
- Care leavers in Education Employment and Training (EET)
- Care leavers in suitable accommodation
- Numbers/proportion of children adopted or made subject to Special Guardianship Order (SGO)/Residence Order or returned home
- 3. Appendix 1 gives a summary of the currently available data at the end of quarter 3 (31 December 2013), along with a brief commentary highlighting the main issues raised from analysis of the information.
- 4. Appendix 2 gives the data which informs this report.

- 5. In summary, the overall picture reflected in the attached analysis is as follows:
 - Inputs a continuing high level of demand on services, but with some levelling off in volume of contacts and referrals compared to the previous year.
 - Processes continuing pressures on timeliness of assessment and child protection processes, with an adverse impact on performance levels.
 - Outputs overall sound results, suggesting that, despite high caseloads, support provided for children requiring social care intervention continues to be largely effective, although there are some challenges for care leavers.

Current Performance Management Arrangements

- 6. Performance continues to be monitored very closely via the monthly Children's Social Care Performance Clinic chaired by the Corporate Director and attended by the Head of Service and all senior managers with responsibility for children's social care. This meeting analyses a range of performance and activity data and agrees and monitors actions in response to any identified issues. This is underpinned by a range of performance clinics with operational managers across the service.
- 7. In addition there is a fortnightly Workload Pressures meeting chaired by the Corporate Director and attended by the Head of Service and key senior managers in children's social care. This meeting closely monitors staffing and allocation issues and any associated pressures across the service.
- 8. Due to continuing concerns about inappropriate referrals to children's social care and the low take up of the common assessment framework (CAF) by partner agencies, a revised referral protocol was approved by Stockton-on-Tees Local Safeguarding Children Board (SLSCB). Under this protocol, no referrals are accepted by children's social care without prior evidence of CAF activity, unless there are immediate child protection concerns. This was implemented on 4 November 2013.
- 9. An update report was taken to SLSCB on 16 January 2014 based on the position at 20 December 2013. Up to this date, 24 referrals were returned to partner agencies as inappropriate.

These can be broken down as follows:

Police 17
Housing 2
NTHFT 2
Schools 2
Probation 1

10. Due to the high number of inappropriate referrals from the police, discussions have taken place on a Tees wide basis and it has been agreed to develop a consistent pathway for police to raise issues which do not meet the threshold for children's social care intervention.

- 11. The 'critical friend' review of decision making and processes in relation to the child protection system was undertaken by colleagues from Northumberland Council from 21 to 23 January 2014. The findings from this review were broadly in line with our own assessment of current functioning and the recommendations arising were considered by SLSCB Executive on 20 February 2014.
- 12. As indicated previously, a peer review of safeguarding will take place in the week commencing 24 March 2014. This three day diagnostic will be undertaken by a team of experienced peer reviewers from other Local Authorities and will be focused on social work practice and decision making in the newly formed Assessment Teams and longer term intervention in cases involving domestic violence and neglect. This will enable us to test out the impact and progress of actions implemented in response to the Ofsted inspection of child protection in January 2013.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 13. These pressures continue to have an impact on the Children, Education and Social Care budget in a number of key areas as follows.
- 14. Firstly the independent fostering agency budget, which is set at £3.646m for 2013/14. The current projected outturn at year end is £4.955m ie an overspend of £1.309m. Given that the number of placements has already exceeded the estimated number for the purposes of budgetary projections, there are no additional placements built into this figure.
- 15. Secondly the children's homes agency placements budget, which is set at £3.868m for 2013/14. The current projected outturn at year end is £5.103m ie an overspend of £1.235m. Given that the number of placements has already exceeded the estimated number for the purposes of budgetary projections, there are no additional placements built into this figure.
- 16. Thirdly the social work staffing budget, which is currently set at £3.141m for 2013/14. The current projected outturn at year end is £3.673m ie an overspend of £532k. This includes the effect of the Referral and Assessment Team review which was implemented from November 2013 and additional agreed Social Worker appointments. However, provision has been made from CESC managed surplus brought forward from 2012/13 in respect of these additional costs.
- 17. These issues continue to be considered through the medium term financial plan (MTFP).
- 18. As part of the work undertaken by the Children's Programme Board, the Joint Venture Partnership with Spark of Genius is progressing. The refurbished King Edwin School is now open and it is currently planned that the first of the proposed four children's homes will be open by April 2014. This will enable children to be returned from expensive external provision so that they can live and be educated within the Borough.
- 19. A consultant with recent experience as Director of Children's Services has now been appointed to explore ways of reducing the need for independent fostering agency placements and to further improve recruitment of in house foster carers and adopters. Proposals will be presented to the Children's Programme Board for approval in due course. This consultant will also assist with preparation for the forthcoming Ofsted inspection under the new single inspection framework.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

20. As outlined in previous reports to Cabinet, these workload pressures have resulted in a corresponding increase in the numbers of children subject to care proceedings. This in turn has placed a significant additional burden on Legal Services. Additional resources have been agreed previously in order to respond to this, although this continues to be monitored closely.

RISK ASSESSMENT

- 21. There are three risks relating to this area of activity which have been already been identified and included in the service group risk register. These are listed below with their current risk score.
 - Demographic changes and demand for services (CESC02)

Current score: 16

Finance & resource availability in all CESC Services (CESC07)

Current score: 12

Serious injury or death leading to a Serious Case Review (CESC14)

Current score: 15

22. These risks will continue to be monitored at Children and Young People's Management Team (CYPMT) and the risk scores amended as appropriate. Any resulting changes will be fed into the corporate risk register and highlighted to Cabinet.

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY STRATEGY IMPLICATIONS

- 23. The safeguarding of children is a key component of the children and young people theme in the Sustainable Community Strategy. Improving outcomes for children by effective service delivery will also impact on their potential quality of life in adulthood.
- 24. The effective safeguarding of children and young people will also have a significant impact on the community safety agenda.

EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

25. This report has not been subject to an Equalities Impact Assessment because it is not seeking approval for a new policy, strategy or fundamental change in the delivery of a service.

CORPORATE PARENTING

- 26. For those children who are looked after, the Council has a responsibility as Corporate Parent to ensure that their needs are appropriately met.
- 27. As service pressures and workload increases, this could potentially impact on the Council's ability to effectively fulfil its responsibilities as Corporate Parent.

CONSULTATION INCLUDING WARD/COUNCILLORS

28. No consultation has taken place in relation to this issue at this stage.

Name of Contact Officer: Shaun McLurg

Post Title: Head of Children and Young People's Services

Telephone No. 01642 527049

Email Address: shaun.mclurg@stockton.gov.uk

Background Papers

Inspection of Local Authority Arrangements for the Protection of Children in Stockton-on-Tees Ofsted 2013

Ward(s) and Ward Councillors

Not applicable.

Property

There are no implications for Council property.

Inputs: headline data

- 1521 Contacts during the period lower than the average rate during 2012~13, and slightly down from the previous quarter.
- Proportion of contacts being closed and logged has remained in line with the previous quarter at 60%.
- A further reduction in the proportion of referrals to the Social Care service that were then classed as 'no further action' (19.6% in Q1; 8.2% in Q2; 5.4% in Q3).
- Slight drop in the proportion of contacts with an active CAF to just 5.0%.
- Little change in overall volume of other agency use of CAF2s.

Processes: headline data

- Timeliness of initial assessments has shown some improvement to 50%, but still below target.
- Continued improvement in the timeliness of core assessments at 67% and in line with target expectations.
- Proportion of referrals proceeding to S47 Enquiry (i.e child protection concern) still relatively high at 34%, in line with the previous quarter.
- Improvement to 50% in the proportion of ICPCs within 15 days, but still at a low level.
- Timeliness of CP reviews slightly below target at 97%.
- Social Care workforce reasonably stable, although continued reliance on agency staff to cover vacancies.

Outputs: headline data

- Children in need 1434; children with a CP plan 322; children in care 380.
- An increase in the number of children with second or subsequent CP plans, but this represents a very small number of families, and performance remains within target range.
- The rate of CP plans lasting over two years, at 1.3%, is in line with the previous year's performance and within target range.
- The proportion of children in care having three or more placement moves during a year (5% i.e 19 children) continues to be lower than the previous year's rate.
- Care leavers in EET down slightly to under 50%.
- Over half (52%) of children ceasing to be in care returned home.

Inputs: Commentary

- Overall levels of activity impacting on social care remain high, but continue to level off slightly from the previous year.
- 2. Referral rates are still at a high level, but the reducing rate of referrals with a 'no further action' outcome indicates some improvement in understanding of thresholds for social care support this may also be linked to the introduction in November of a revised protocol for rejecting referrals without an active CAF.
- 3. Engagement in 'early help' and preventative work via CAF are areas where there needs to be continued work with partners,

Processes: Commentary

- High levels of activity continue to impact adversely on performance in timeliness of processes, although there has been considerable improvement in core assessment timescales. A new single assessment process was introduced in February 2014 which will impact on future reporting of timescales.
- 2. The high level of strategy meetings and S47 enquiries has been highlighted as an area for improvement by the recent Critical Friend Review (undertaken by Northumberland Council) actions to address this will be discussed at the Stockton Local Safeguarding Children Board.

Outputs: Commentary

- Numbers of children in need, and children in care, are still levelling off, whilst those with a CP plan have reduced slightly.
- 2. Continued low rates of second / subsequent CP plans, and of CP plans over two years, indicate sound case management and effective interventions overall for the children involved.
- 3. Proportion of children achieving permanency through routes other than adoption reflects effective consideration of options and focus on returning home.
- 4. Performance with regard to care leavers in EET is an area requiring attention.

Key

0

CAFs - Common Assessment Framework	S47 - Section 47 Enquiry	CP - Children subject of a Child
ICPC - Initial Child Protection Conference	CiN - Children in Need	Protection Plan
RCPC - Review Child Protection Conference	CiC - Children in Care	EET - Education, Employment, Training
CYP - Children and Young People		

* Data shows the cumulative position from 1st April, except for items marked with an asterix which relates to the Quarter period only

The arrows relate to the direction of travel from previous quarter based on polarity of performance

Inputs

		2/13	2013/14										
Activity / Performance Measures	Whole			(Apr - Ju	ne)	Q2 (Apr- Sep)			Q3 (Apr - Dec)			Source	
	Number	%	Number	%		Number	%		Number	%			
Number of contacts made to children's social care *	6859	\	1642	\	①	1642	١	\Leftrightarrow	1521	١	企	QEC - Referral Workflow	
Number /proportion of Closed and Logged Contacts *	3651	53.2%	856	52.1%	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	999	60.8%	Û	913	60.0%	\$	QEC - Referral Workflow	
Number /proportion of Closed & Logged Contacts with an active CAF *	181	5.0%	46	5.5%	仓	64	6.4%	仓	46	5.0%	Û	QEC - Referral Workflow	
Number /proportion of Referrals which were NFAs *	805	25.1%	154	19.6%	仓	53	8.2%	仓	33	5.4%	Û	QEC - Referral Workflow	
Number of contacts that become referrals for assessment (ie Assessment has commenced) *	2336	\	523	\	仓	529	\		535	١		QEC - Referral Workflow	
Number of total contacts from the various agencies and the number of these that do not meet the threshold for Social Care Intervention *	See Table 1									Table 1 from SLCSB Q3 dataset - IN3 Analysis			
Number of CAF2's commenced, by Agency:-	450		141			272			403		⇔		
CESC - Children Centre Services	13		0			0			4				
CESC - Schools	81		34			61			97				
CESC - IYSS	32		12			22			29				
CESC - Social Care	117		56			120			159				
CESC - Other	73		2			3			10			D	
Other Education Support/Settings	48		11			14			20			Breakdown of CAF2s by Agency from SLCSB Q	
NEPACS			0			0			0			dataset - IN1 - CAF2s by	
Health - Foundation Trust - Health Visitor Service	59		14			31			51			Agency and Reason	
Health - Foundation Trust - Midwives	1		2			4			7				
Health - Foundation Trust - School Nurse Service	9		3			3			4				
Health - Other	1		0			2			4				
Drug and Alcohol Agencies	12		0			0			4				
Housing	3		3			3			3				
	 								 			1	

Other Agencies 3rd/Vol Sector

9

11

Processes

		2012	2/13											
Activity / Performance Measures		Whole Year		Q1 (Apr- Jun)			Q2 (Apr - Sep)			Q3 (Apr - Dec)			Source	
			%	Number	%	*	Number	%	*	Number	%	*		
Number and timeliness of initial assessments (10	Numerator	1082	47.4%	267	41.8%	仓	567		î	962	50.2%	⇧	Census - Annual Figure /	
working days)	Denominator	2285	47.470	638			1288	44.0%	╵	1918	50.2%	Ш	QEC	
Number and timeliness of core assessments	Numerator	694	EE 20/	224	FC 00/	⇧	558	63.7%	①	828	66.00/	仓	Census - Annual Figure / QEC	
	Denominator	1258	55.2%	400	56.0%	Ш	876			1237	66.9%	Ш		
Number and timeliness of Initial CP conferences	Numerator	133	- 32.8%	53	56.4%		89	44.7%	Û	153	50.0%	仓	Census - Annual Figure /	
(ICPC within 15 working days of the Sect 47 Enquiry)	Denominator	406		94			199	44.770	•	306			QEC	
Timeliness of Child Protection Reviews	Numerator	268	97.8%	273	96.8%	Û	251	00.00/	Û	242	07.00/	仓	Census - Annual Figure /	
(Rolling Year)	Denominator	274		282		4	259	96.9%	√ -√	249	97.2%		QEC	
Number and proportion of referrals that result in S47 enquiries.	Numerator	950		183			418	34.8%		602	34.1%		Census - Annual Figure CRAM - Section 47s that did (nt) proceed to ICPC	
	Denominator	2372	40.1%	632	29.0%		1202		Û	1764			workbook (Num) & Cases started Apr to date (Den) - Referrals (excluding Closed & logged and NFAs)	
Children & Family Court Advisory and Support Se applications per 10,000 child population	rvices (Cafcass) care	24.8	\										Cafcass	

Outputs

		201:	2/13					2013/14						
Activity / Performance Measures		Whole	Year	Q	1 (Apr - Ju	ın)	Q2	(Apr - Se	ep)	Q3	(Apr - De	ec)	Source	
		Number	%	Number	%	*	Number	%	*	Number	%	*		
Number of CIN (excluding CP & LAC) at end of period		1573	\	1605	\	Û	1504	\	Û	1434	\	企	Census - Annual Figure \ Profile of Needs QEC	
Number of CP at end of period		369	\	356	\	企	356	١	\Leftrightarrow	332	١	企	Census - Annual Figure \ QEC	
Number of CIC at end of period		362	\	380	\	Û	379	\	\Leftrightarrow	380	\	\Leftrightarrow	SSDA903 - Annual Figure \ QEC	
Re-referral rates *	Numerator	676	21.3%	157	20.0%		180	28.0%	Û	143	23.5%	介	QEC Referral Workflow	
The residual ratios	Denominator	3178	21.070	786	20.078		643	20.070		608	20.070	Ц	Q20 Noronal Workhow	
2nd or subsequent CP Plans	Numerator	24	6.0%	2	2.7%	介	2	1.1%	介	14	5.3%	Û	QEC	
	Denominator	402		75		Ц	174	,		266	,.	<u> </u>		
CP Plans 2 yrs+	Numerator	9	- 2.9%	0	- 0%	仓	4	2.1%	Û	4	1.3%	仓	Census - Annual Figure \ QEC	
	Denominator	306		89			188			304				
Stability of Children in Care placements :	Numerator	40	11.0%	2	0.5%	仓	11	2.9%	9%	19	5.0%	⇔	SSDA903 - Annual Figure \ QEC - NI62 Graph	
No. of Placements	Denominator	362		380			379			380		` '		
Stability of Children in Care placements: Length of Placement	Numerator	58	57.4%	55	56.7%	Û	54	55.1%	Û	57	55.9%	⇔	SSDA903 - Annual Figure \ QEC - NI63 Graph	
or Placement	Denominator	101		97		Ť	98		Ť	102				
Care leavers in suitable accommodation (16 - 21 Year Olds)	Numerator	N/A		32	94.1%		65	97.0%	⇧	88	96.7%	Û	QEC	
real Olus)	Denominator	N/A		34			67			91		Ť		
Care Leavers in EET (16 - 21 Year Olds)	Numerator	N/A		20	58.8%		36	53.7%	Û	44	48.4%	Û	QEC	
	Denominator	N/A		34			67		·	91		Ť		
	Adoption	16	12.4%	5	11.9%		11	13%		20	16.1%			
Permanency when care has ceased - numbers / proportion:	Residence Order	28	21.7%	5	11.9%		14	17.1%		19	15.3%		- QEC	
	Special Guardianship	21	16.3%	10	23.8%		17			20	16.1%			
	Returned Home	64	49.6%	22	52.4%		40	48.8%		65	52.4%			

Cases started during 1st October 2013 to 31st December 2013

Table 1 - Breakdown of cases and referrers (% of total at each stage)

Table 1 - Breakdown of cases and referrers (% of total at	cuon stage)	Case Resulted in:													
Referred By		ed & Logged as Juiry)	No Further	Action (NFA)	Proceeded to In	itial Assessment	Assessment or I	eed to Initial be Closed Down as Referral	Total						
	Number	Proportion (% of Total Contacts)	Number	Proportion (% of Total NFA)	Number	Proportion (% of Total Proceeded to IA)	Number	Proportion (% of Total Yet to Proceeded)	Number	Proportion (% of Total Cases)					
Assessment Teams	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	9	1.7%	1	2.6%	11	0.7%					
CESC Others	3	0.3%	1	3.0%	6	1.1%	0	0.0%	10	0.7%					
Courts	88	9.6%	2	6.1%	6	1.1%	0	0.0%	96	6.3%					
Education - Head Teacher	32	3.5%	6	18.2%	69	12.9%	0	0.0%	107	7.0%					
Education - Other	19	2.1%	0	0.0%	43	8.0%	0	0.0%	62	4.1%					
Education - Special Educational Needs Department	59	6.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	60	3.9%					
Education - Teacher	20	2.2%	0	0.0%	15	2.8%	0	0.0%	36	2.4%					
Emergency Duty Team	31	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	32	2.1%					
Family Support	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	1.3%	2	5.1%	10	0.7%					
Field Work	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	28	5.2%	4	10.3%	34	2.2%					
Health - A & E	36	3.9%	0	0.0%	10	1.9%	1	2.6%	47	3.1%					
Health - CAMHS	4	0.4%	1	3.0%	5	0.9%	1	2.6%	11	0.7%					
Health - Child Protection Nurse	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%					
Health - Community / District Nurse	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%					
Health - Community Mental Health	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%					
Health - General Practitioner	16	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	17	1.1%					
Health - Health Visitor	6	0.7%	0	0.0%	20	3.7%	5	12.8%	31	2.0%					
Health - Midwife	4	0.4%	0	0.0%	12	2.2%	0	0.0%	16	1.1%					
Health - Other	49	5.4%	3	9.1%	15	2.8%	2	5.1%	69	4.5%					
Health - School Nurse	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%					
Housing	24	2.6%	0	0.0%	14	2.6%	0	0.0%	38	2.5%					
Individuals	165	18.1%	5	15.2%	70	13.1%	9	23.1%	249	16.4%					
LAC Services	16	1.8%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%	1	2.6%	20	1.3%					
Other (see note)	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	7	1.3%	0	0.0%	10	0.7%					
Other Agency	152	16.6%	5	15.2%	63	11.8%	5	12.8%	225	14.8%					
Police	162	17.7%	8	24.2%	105	19.6%	8	20.5%	283	18.6%					
Probation	19	2.1%	2	6.1%	21	3.9%	0	0.0%	42	2.8%					
Total	913	100.0%	33	100.0%	535	100.0%	39	100.0%	1521	100.0%					

Please note the total counts for the four sections above do not add up to 1521 as one referral was closed during the period with inaccurate / missing data and therefore does not appear in the breakdown above

Other - this includes First Contact Team, Prevention, Prison Service, LADO and Schools and Complex Needs Service.