# Data charts from SQS visit to Stockton YOT

20 cases were inspected during the SQS visit to **Stockton YOT.** The following charts summarise the key questions that were assessed. [NB: The total answers may not always equal 20, since some questions may not have been applicable to every case].


#### 0 5 10 15 20 25 Scores Was there sufficient assessment of the 20 100% likelihood of reoffending? Was there sufficient review of the 15 100% assessment? Overall, was a good quality pre-sentence 9 1 90% report provided to the court? Yes Did the PSR give sufficient attention to 86% 6 1 appropriate alternatives to custody? No Was there sufficient planning for work to 17 2 89% reduce likelihood of reoffending? Was there sufficient review of plans? 11 92% Was there sufficient planning for the 80% 4 1 custodial phase of the sentence?

# Reducing the Likelihood of Reoffending

# **Protecting the Public**

	0	5	10		15	20	25	
Was there sufficient assessment of the risk of harm posed by the child or young person?		•	19			1		95%
Did the PSR contain a clear and thorough assessment of risk of harm?		8	2					80%
Was there sufficient review of the assessment?		11		2				85%
Was there sufficient planning for work to manage risk of harm?		1	14		2			88%
Was there sufficient engagement with MAPPA?	,     					■ Yes ■ No	]	
Was the risk of harm to identifiable victims effectively managed?		12		Φ				100%
Was oversight by management effective in ensuring the quality of risk of harm work?	۱	1	14		2			88%
Was there sufficient planning for risk of harm work during the custodial period of the sentence?	•	4 0						100%

### **Protecting the Child or Young Person**



# **Ensuring the Sentence is Served**

