STOCKTON-ON-TEES BOROUGH COUNCIL

CABINET RECOMMENDATIONS

PROFORMA

Cabinet Meeting23rd February 2012

1. <u>Title of Item/Report</u>

Medium Term Financial Plan Update and Strategy

2. Record of the Decision

Members considered the final report in the process of determining the Council's 2012/2013 budget and Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) position for 2012 to 17. The report included Council Tax proposals and outlined the approach to the financial issues faced by the Council.

A table detailing the current MTFP for each of the Council's services was provided, together with commentary relating to changes in the position since the previously reported position.

The General Fund balances were anticipated to be £10.8m, which was £2.3m above the 3% required and this surplus was available as one off resources.

Members were reminded that, in December 2011, they had considered a report detailing potential changes to the Council's MTFP. Since then the financial position had been updated at the report presented to this meeting identified the decisions needed for setting the 2012/13 budget and the impact on the indicative MTFP.

Cabinet was reminded that the indicative MTFP for 2012/15 outlined in the 2011/12 budget report had assumed a Council Tax of 3.5% and showed budget gaps as follows:-

2012/13 - £158,000 2013/14 - £408,000 2014/15 - £6, 272,000

Members were also reminded that the current plan included a significant level of savings which had been identified through the Council's efficiency measures.

The MTFP had been reviewed and updated and also rolled forward for an additional two years in order to demonstrate the financial position the

Council could be facing in the long term. A number of changes had been incorporated into the plan including estimates around future funding, expenditure estimates and service pressures.

Taking account of those changes the updated MTFP position was detailed to members as follows:

```
2012/13
£'000 2013/14
£'000 2014/15
£'000 2015/16
£'000 2016/17
£'000
Funding
RSG -70,231
                  -68,280
                              -62,996
                                           -60,096
                                                       -57,296
Council Tax - 2011/12 Freeze Grant
                                     -1,800 -1,800 -1,800
Council Tax -75,516
                        -78,523
                                     -81,649
                                                 -84,901
      -88,284
New Homes Bonus -1,320-1,320-1,320-1,320
Total Funding
                  -148,867
                              -149,923
                                           -147,765
                                                       -146,317
      -146,900
Spending Plans
                  147,940
                              149,111
                                           152,184
                                                       156,087
      160,493
EIT Savings -1,218-1,525-1,597-1,672-1,745
Insurance
                  -1,964 -1,975 -1,987 -1,987
                  1,050 1,050 2,750 2,750
Pressures
            250
Interim Growth
                  1.895
Spending Plans
                  148,867
                              146,672
                                           149,662
                                                       155,178
      159,514
Budget Gap 0
                  -3,2511,897 8,861 12,614
```

It was explained that the Council Tax levels included in the MTFP above were based on an increase of 3.49% which was consistent with the indicative position outlined in the budget report for 2011/12. Members were reminded that in 2011/12, Council Tax was frozen and the equivalent increase (in Stockton's case £1.8m) was funded through Government Grant. This grant was guaranteed for 4 years and this could be seen in the table above, including the impact of this discontinuing. The Government had recently indicated that funding would again be available for Council's to freeze Council Tax in the forthcoming year, again with Council's receiving the equivalent of 2.5% increase. Critically however, this was a one year allocation only and if Stockton accepted this funding there would be a significant additional pressure on its MTFP as demonstrated below.

2012/13 2013/14 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17 £'000 £'000 £'000 £'000 **Current Planned Council Tax Levels** Opening Council Tax Income 72,986 75,517 78,523 81,650 84,902 Increase (@3.49%) 2,530 3,006 3,127 3,252 3,382 Council Tax for the year 75,516 78,523 81,650 84,902 88,284 Impact of Freeze Funding Opening Council Tax Income 72,987 72,987 75,892 78,913 82,056 Increase (@ 3.49% from 2013/14) 0 2,905 3,021 3,143 3,268 Freeze Grant 1,812 0 0 0 Funding for the Year 74,799 75,892 78,913 82,056 85,324 Pressure on MTFP 717 2,631 2,737 2,846 2,960

Given the level of additional pressure caused by the accepting the freeze grant across the MTFP, it was recommended that the Council increases Council Tax levels by 3.49%. This would mean 82p per week for a Band D Council Tax and 55p per week for Band A.

Although the plan included savings of almost £20m, it was clear additional savings were required. Whilst all services had been reviewed through the EIT process there were a range of areas which were worthy of further exploration.

The updated plan had, once again, identified pressures in energy, waste and social care. Whilst there had been significant efforts to reduce costs in these areas, the level of growth and potential pressures had required over £2.5m to be allocated to those areas in each of the last two years. Given the Council's financial position, it was important to do everything possible to avoid future pressures and this indicated a need to have a consolidated and targeted approach to considering options, which maybe radical, to avoid further increases. It was therefore recommended that detailed reviews of these areas were undertaken. These areas were also identified as part of Phase 2 of the Council's approach to procurement category management and this would be a key aspect of this work. Whilst these would attempt to reduce costs, given the level of growth in recent years, the initial focus would be to avoid increases and therefore for the purpose of this report, no savings in these areas had been assumed.

There were a number of areas where EIT reviews identified potential additional work, and it was recommended that those were now brought forward, along with some cross cutting areas which were worthy of

review. These also linked with the procurement category management approach and were:

- Transport
- Street Lighting
- Subsidies to External Organisations (e.g. TAL)
- Vehicles and Fuel
- Fees and Charges
- Terms and Conditions

It was estimated that these areas could contribute approximately £1m to the savings target by 2014/15 which would mean that the budget position would be as below. The reviews had been incorporated into Service Improvement Plans and also the Council's Scrutiny Programme.

```
2012/13
                 2013/14
                             2014/15
                                        2015/16
                                                    2016/17
     £'000 £'000 £'000 £'000
ESTIMATED BUDGET GAP (as per
                                   1,897 8,861 12,614
paragraph 15)
                       (3,251)
                 0
Efficiency Reviews
                       (500) (1,000)
                                        (1,000)
                                                    (1,000)
ESTIMATED BUDGET GAP
                                  (3.751)
                                              897
                             0
                                                    7,861
     11,614
```

Members noted that under the Localism Act the Council was now required to produce a pay policy statement each year. Members were supplied with a proposed statement for 2012/13 at Appendix A to the report.

Members then considered reserves and one off resources and noted the calls on them including Town Centre and Housing Regeneration Cashflow issues as well as investment requirements and priorities. Members were provided with details of funding:

Scheme £'000
Previously Supported Schemes
Community Participation Budget (3 yrs) 1,200
Repairs & Maintenance (2 yrs) 600
Aids and Adaptations (3 yrs) 450
Cemetery Maintenance 150

New Schemes
Billingham Library & Contact Centre 1,500
Tees Valley Broadband 140
Thornaby Road Scheme Match funding 300

Housing Match Funding - Empty Homes 500 Wheeled Park Facilities 200 Events 300

TOTAL 5.340

Summary of One-off Resources

£'000

Resources Available 22,150

Less

Housing & Town centre Cashflow 5,300 Town Centre Phase 2 Cashflow 5,000 One Off Schemes 5,340

15,640

Available Resources 6,510

It was proposed that the remaining £6.5 detailed above be retained to support investments, which generate ongoing revenue income.

Members were informed that officers were looking at opportunities to reduce costs around Children's residential care provision and enabling children to be placed in provision within the Borough. A potential solution could be the use of the former King Edwin School site and a possible partnership with a private sector provider. Cabinet had previously agreed to demolish this building, however, it was recommended that the demolition be delayed whilst a procurement exercise was undertaken to explore this option.

It was explained that the Council could consider developing detailed plans to address the estimated gap in 2015/16, however, Members agreed that given the levels of uncertainty and the work currently ongoing this should be delayed and considered as part of the 2013/14 budget cycle.

Cabinet then noted the Capital budget for 2011/12 and noted the variances that would be carried forward into 2012/13

Members were provided with details of Government funding allocations and noted that the Capital Programme had been reviewed and updated and was provided as Appendix B to the report.

Members were provided with a summary of the MTFP position:

 There was a balanced budget in 2012/13, and a projected surplus in 2013/14 of £3.75m.

 It was estimated that there would be a revenue budget gap of £7.8m in 2015/16 and £11.6m in 2016/17, however there was significant uncertainty around these years of the plan and the situation would be reviewed on an annual basis.

 £22.15m (includes the 20013/14 projected surplus) of one-off resources had been released into the MTFP and this would be utilised as follows:

 Housing Regeneration and Stockton Town Centre cashflow £5.3m

: Stockton Town Centre Phase 2 £5m

 Investment/Schemes £5.34m  Retained for investment opportunities £6.51m

Members were provided with details of the impact a 3.49% (£75,016,771) increase in Stockton's Council Tax for 2012/13 and noted the effect this would have on Band A and Band D properties in the Borough.

Details of the precepts set by the Fire Authority and parishes were also provided. It was noted that the Police Authority would not set its precept until 29 February 2012.

Cabinet was provided with formal Tax recommendations at appendix C to the report aswell as the Council's Treasury Management Strategy at Appendix D

RECOMMENDED to Council that:

- 1. in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003, Members note that the Section 151 Officer confirms that the following recommendations:
- a) represent a robust budget which has been prepared in line with best practice,
- b) provide adequate working balances at 3% of general fund, and
- c) that the controlled reserves and provisions are adequate for their purpose.

General Fund Budget

- 2. a 2012/13 Council Tax requirement for Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council of £75,016,771 be approved
- 3. a 2012/13 Council Tax requirement for Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council inclusive of Parish Precepts (£617,577) of £75,634,348 be

approved.

- 4. the 2012/13 budget and indicative 2012/17 MTFP as outlined in paragraphs 15 and 20 and the use of balances and reserves as outlined in paragraph 32 be approved
- 5. The Pay Policy Statement as attached as at Appendix A to the report considered by Cabinet be approved.

Taxation

SBC

6. the Council Tax for Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council prior to Parish, Fire and Police Precepts be increased by 3.49% i.e. to £1264.16 at Band D (£842.77 at Band A)

Fire, Police & Parish

- 7. the precept of the Police Authority be noted when it is notified to the Council.
- 8. the Fire Precept of £3,946,189 which equates to a Council Tax of £66.50 at Band D (£44.33 at Band A) be noted.
- 9. the Parish precepts as set out in paragraph 44 of the budget report considered by Cabinet be noted

Capital

10. the Capital Programme attached at Appendix B to the report considered by Cabinet be approved.

Council Tax - Statutory Requirements

11. the statutory requirements for Council Tax as shown in Appendix C to the report considered by Cabinet be approved.

Treasury Management/Prudential Code

12. the Treasury Management Strategy, Minimum Revenue Provision Statement, Investment Strategy and Prudential Indicators for 2012/13—2014/15 as set out in Appendix D to the report considered by Cabinet be approved.

RESOLVED that

13. the demolition of King Edwin School be delayed and a procurement exercise undertaken into child placements which could incorporate the redevelopment and use of the facility.

3. Reasons for the Decision

To update Members on the current MTFP position and approve the 2012/13 Budget and Council Tax.

4. <u>Alternative Options Considered and Rejected</u>

None

5. Declared (Cabinet Member) Conflicts of Interest

Each of the Cabinet members present declared a personal, non-prejudicial interest in respect of this item due to the fact that they were Council Tax payers.

6. Details of any Dispensations

Not applicable

7. Date and Time by which Call In must be executed

Midnight on Friday 2 March 2012 in respect of decision 13 only.

Proper Officer 28 February 2012