

## **Equality Impact Assessments Screening Proforma**

Section One: About the Policy / Function

Service Group: CESC	Service: Children & Young Peoples Strategy		Section: Children & Young Peoples Strategy	Lead officer for EIA: Dave Adams		
Support officers: Kaye Pickering			Date EIA completed: 6 <sup>th</sup> April 2011			
General information						
(1) Name of Policy / Function	ame of Policy / Function: Parenting Programm			e (Think Family)		
(2) Is this new or existing?		Proposed changes to an existing programme.				
(3) What is the overall aim(s) policy / function?	of the	The aim of the Parenting Programme is to ensure that all parents and carers are able to:  • make confident, informed choices, which they feel are right for their families  • shape and develop services to respond to their needs  • work in partnership with services to reinforce the benefits for their children  • access good information, advice and guidance when and where they need it				

(4) What are the objectives	of the
policy / function?	

- Improve and Support Workforce Development
- To Implement Evidenced Based Parenting Programmes (EBP)
- To provide information, advice and guidance which is accessible to all
- To provide a continuum of services for parents from Universal to targeted through to specialist provision.
- To involve parents in the planning of services

(5) Who are the main customer groups of this policy / function?	Parents & Carers		
(6) What are the potential and actual numbers of customers in each group?	There are 22,365 families with dependent children and young people from 0 -19 years old living in Stockton-on-Tees (Child Benefit Statistics, August 2006)		
(7) Who implements this policy / function within Stockton-on-Tees and how?	The programme is implemented through the Children & Young Peoples Strategy section of CESC. Dave Adams is the Parenting Commissioner Kaye Pickering is the Parenting Strategy Co-ordinator.		
(8) Are any partner agencies involved in the delivery of this policy / function? If so, whom?	Health Social Care Education Voluntary & Private Sector Youth Service		
(9) Are other services affected by this policy / function?	Yes		
(10) If yes, please state which policies or functions are affected and whether this is a positive or negative impact?	<ul> <li>Sustainable Community Strategy</li> <li>Children and Young People's Plan</li> <li>Local Safeguarding Plan</li> <li>Teenage Pregnancy Plan</li> <li>Youth Justice Plan</li> <li>Multi-Agency Looked After Children Action Plan</li> <li>Children's Centre Core Offer</li> <li>The Crime and Disorder Strategy</li> <li>Play Strategy</li> <li>Participation, Involvement and Consultation Strategy</li> <li>Housing Strategy</li> <li>Young Homelessness Strategy</li> </ul>		

	<ul> <li>Homelessness Strategy</li> <li>Local Transport Strategy</li> <li>Workforce Development Strategy</li> <li>Domestic Violence Strategy</li> <li>Healthy Eating and School Meals Strategy</li> <li>Childhood Obesity Strategy</li> <li>Ten Year Youth Strategy</li> <li>Hidden Harm Action Plan</li> </ul>
(11) Would it be better to combine assessment of this policy /function with others closely related into one impact assessment?	No

### **Section One: Data Review and Analysis**

Data Source	When and how collected	Significant findings Age	Significant findings Disability	Significant findings Faith	Significant findings Gender	Significant findings Race	Significant findings Sexual Orientation
Nationally Collected Data eg: Census Performance Indicators							
Locally Collected data eg: Viewpoint panel, Mori Survey		Evaluations hat this last year.	ave taken place	e of the various	parenting progra	mmes which ha	ve been run in
Service area							

data	
aata	
eg:	
a a mana na ta	
comments	
and	
anu	
complaints,	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
user surveys or evaluation	
or evaluation	
oi evaluation	
forms	
Other data	

### **Stage 2 Scoring the Policy**

Now that you have all the information available you can move onto scoring the policy for impact:

	Does it reduce discrimination?	Does it or is it likely to promote equality of opportunity?	Does it promote good relations between groups?	Does it encourage participation in public life and access to council services?	Does it promote positive attitudes and images to different groups?	Total Score for strand
Age	2	2	2	2	2	10
Disability	2	2	2	2	2	10
Faith/Belief	2	2	2	2	2	10
Gender	2	2	2	2	2	10
Race	2	2	2	2	2	10
Sexual Orientation	2	2	2	2	2	10
	•	•		•	Total Score	60

#### **Scoring System:**

- Score 3 if the policy has a positive effect
- Score 2 if the policy has a neutral effect.
- Score 1 if the policy has a negative effect
- If a score has been awarded due to lack of data rather than anticipated effect please indicate by using ND

•



# **Equality Impact Assessments Summary Sheet**

Name of Policy / Function: Think Family	Service and Service Group: CESC- Children and YP Strategy
Responsible Officer: Dave Adams	Form completed by: Dave Adams
Date: April, 2011	
Stage 1 Collecting information and data to supp	port the assessment
Date completed:	
Stage 2 Scoring the Policy Impact Assessment Score	60
Action Taken	
No action required	
Policy to be amended (action plan attached):	
Substantiate aims of the policy as originally proposed:	

The function has not been amended because:
It is proposed that a range of functions will remain in place under the heading of Parenting Support- Think Family, supported by the Parenting Coordinator.
Stage 3 Publication and Monitoring
Date of Publication
Date Set for Review

#### Action Plan:

This action plan highlights how the policy will be amended to address the issues highlighted in the Equalities Impact Assessment. Longer term issues will be developed into actions within the relevant Service Improvement Plan.