ITEM/ISSUE	DOI	COMMENTS/ DECISION	ACTION	Does it need Council approval/ Endorsement?	Are any other boards affected?	CONTACT
1. WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS AND APOLOGIES         The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.         Apologies were noted.		Noted	No	No	No	Sarah Upex, Area Partnership Board Coordinator, SBC
<ul> <li>2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (DOI) Members were advised to declare DOI at the start of the meeting before any items are discussed.</li> <li>Val Scollen and Geoff Harrison declared an interest in agenda item 6 as members of the Northern Area Partnership Board.</li> </ul>		Noted	No	No	No	Sarah Upex, Area Partnership Board Coordinator, SBC
3. MINUTES OF THE STOCKTON RENAISSANCE PARTNERSHIP BOARD MEETINGS HELD ON 2 <sup>ND</sup> FEBRUARY 2010 Minutes agreed as a true and accurate record.		Noted	No	No	No	Sarah Upex, Area Partnership Board Coordinator, SBC
<ul> <li>4. PRIMARY CARE TRUST 5 YEAR STRATEGY Members were informed that as part of the Strategic Plan Refresh, the vision, "That the people of Teesside live longer, healthier lives" has not changed. Members were informed that  the strategic objectives are:  <ul> <li>Improve population health by addressing wider social </li> <li>and lifestyle issues </li> <li>Improve the quality and safety of services</li> </ul></li></ul>		Noted	No	No	No	Mike Procter, Director of Strategic Intelligence, NHS Tees
Members were presented with the strategy map which						

		-			
highlights the vision, strategic objectives, health outcomes, clinical pathway and strategic delivery groups and key initiatives.					
It was noted that Strategy has been aligned so that it links in with other strategies for the NHS in the North East and nationally. The 8 clinical themes from the Tees Strategic Plan have been aligned with "Our Vision, Our Future, Our NHS NE". Value for money, prevention and quality and safety are issues in their own right, but are also threads running right through all 8 clinical themes.					
The Board were presented with how issues like tobacco, alcohol and obesity and weight management are structured in the document.					
Members were informed that in order to manage risk across					
<ul> <li>the system:</li> <li>There has to be engagement with all partner organisations, patients, carers and the public</li> <li>Joint planning – openness and transparency</li> <li>Clinical engagement</li> <li>Practice based commissioning</li> </ul>	Noted	No	No	No	
<ul> <li>Members were asked to consider a number of key questions and the following discussion took place:</li> <li>It was asked where older people fit into the strategy</li> <li>It was noted that the key priorities should fit into wider plans, such as those from the immigration service</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>It was noted that there has been close working with the NHS and community and voluntary organisations and</li> </ul>					

	1					
the impact this partnership working has had						
- It was commented that a lot of work is done by tapping						
into existing resources in localities						
- The mechanisms for getting involved were discussed.						
It was noted that once the document had been						
approved, it will be rolled out for engagement and						
consultation. The document will continue to evolve						
and develop						
<ul> <li>It was discussed that given financial constraints, the</li> </ul>						
NHS have to deliver 20% efficiency savings, which is						
demanding, especially with people living longer and						
demanding more services						
- There was a discussion around 'end of life' issues and		Board to be	No	No	No	
making it the best experience as possible		informed of				
		developments				
It was requested that the Board be kept up to date with		with the				
progress.		Strategy				
5. TACKLING HEALTH INEQUALITIES IN THE NORTH		Noted	No	No	No	Lynne Snowball,
EAST						District Auditor,
Members were informed that following the phase one work						Audit
carried out in 2008, the message was that the North East are						Commission
good at identifying the problem and agreeing priorities.						
However, the North East were less good at developing						
targeted strategies, commissioning and delivery of services						
and monitoring and evaluating.						
It was noted that through regional leadership, partnership						
working and increasing/prioritising funding, there has been						
good progress on:						
<ul> <li>Increasing life expectancy</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Mortality rate reducing faster in the North East</li> </ul>						

				r	
<ul> <li>Narrowing the gap between the North East and the rest of England</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Members were informed that the North East has the following areas of poorest health nationally: <ul> <li>Male/female life expectancy is below the national average</li> <li>Differences of 6-11 years between least/most deprived wards for men</li> <li>Circulatory disease mortality falling, but 18% above national average</li> <li>Cancer mortality rate above national average</li> </ul> </li> <li>It was noted that significant progress has been made in Stockton, but there are still the following significant issues: <ul> <li>Circulatory diseases deaths (1998-2007). 50% reduction but above the national average</li> <li>Cancer deaths – 22% reduction but above the national average</li> <li>Women smoking in pregnancy – 19%, a third more than nationally</li> <li>Breastfeeding – increased to 57%, below the national average</li> <li>Alcohol related hospital admissions – 24% above national average – has doubled between 2003-2008</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Board were informed that there are significant costs associated with health inequalities. The cost to the North East in terms of alcohol misuse is £1billion, with the cost to the NHS nationally from smoking at £5billion.</li> </ul>	Noted	No	No	No	

<ul> <li>The recommendations for action arising from the report are to:</li> <li>Align funding to strategic priorities</li> <li>Target services</li> <li>Good data/shared information</li> <li>Accountability and performance management</li> <li>Joint working at a strategic and operational level</li> <li>Expand voluntary sector input</li> <li>Using community views</li> </ul>	Noted	No	No	No	
It was noted that to meet the recommendations, partners need to work together, particularly in the current economic climate. It was also raised that good data is needed in order to target action and that the data needs to be evaluated. Members were informed that as a way forward there is progress but sustained effort is needed at a time of significant financial pressures and cutbacks. It was noted that the report recommendations and key questions can be used to ensure effective investment.					
<ul> <li>A further discussion took place around the following areas:</li> <li>The document challenges/asks questions and is a good document in terms of moving discussions forward.</li> <li>It is a good tool and checklist</li> <li>It is the first time that there has been a detailed systematic comparison in the North East</li> <li>It was noted that the PCT will use the framework and document to challenge internally as a systematic approach</li> </ul>					

	I			I	
<ul> <li>It was discussed if the increase in alcohol consumption was due to the new licensing laws</li> <li>It was noted that it would be useful to have numbers rather than percentages to give a clearer indication of issues</li> <li>It was raised that there has been a huge success in the North East in reducing smoking by 20%, with the North East no longer the worst in the country. There was a discussion around applying what had worked with this to other areas to learn from successes</li> <li>It was noted that BALANCE are a lobbying body for the North East</li> </ul>	Noted	No	No	No	
6. LAA 2008/11 ANNUAL REFRESH – TARGET	Noted	No	No	No	Lesley King,
NEGOTIATION PROPOSALS					Head of Policy,
Board members were provided with an update on the review					Performance &
process for the 2010/11 Local Area Agreement (LAA). Further					Partnerships
to the report received by the Board at its December 2009					
meeting, which outlined the detail and parameters of the					
review process, the following areas have been identified for					
inclusion in the review for 2010/11, the final year of this					
agreement:					
Economic Indicators – NI 151 – Overall Employment					
Rate, NI 153 – Working age people on out of work					
benefits					
<ul> <li>Deferred Indicators from 2009/10 – NI 117 - NEETS</li> </ul>					
Members were also presented with the details of the					
proposals against these measures.					
proposalo againot medoures.					
It was noted that the renegotiation proposals for submission to					

	 -				
GONE, are in line with the target negotiation briefs published by DCLG for use in the negotiation process. These proposals will be subject to ministerial approval. In line with the guidance, the NEETS target will be approved by GONE. The removal of the Teenage Conceptions target from reward will also be approved by GONE.					
<ul> <li>Members were presented with the timeline for approval of the revised targets:</li> <li>January/February – LAA renegotiation discussions between Government Offices and local authorities</li> <li>March 2<sup>nd</sup> – Renaissance Board approval of submission to GONE</li> <li>March 18<sup>th</sup> – Cabinet receive proposals</li> <li>March 24<sup>th</sup> – Council receive proposals</li> <li>March 31<sup>st</sup> – LAA's receive ministerial approval</li> </ul>	The Board approved the revised targets	No	No	No	
7. RENAISSANCE PARTNERSHIP BOARD MEMBERSHIP AND NORTHERN AREA PARTNERSHIP BOARD GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board Membership Members were informed that as Chris Willis is no longer the Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Partnership, there is now a vacancy for the Vice Chair of the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board. The Board agreed that Graham Prest, the new Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Partnership, be appointed as the Vice Chair of the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board.	The Board agreed that Graham Prest is appointed as the Vice Chair of the Partnership.	No	No	No	Dawn Welsh, Partnerships & Engagement Manager

Г					l]
Northern Area Partnership Board Governance Arrangements As reported to the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board in December 2009, as part of the review of the Partnership, the four geographical Area Partnerships have been reviewed and the agreed improvement plans are being implemented and monitored by the partnerships. At the time discussions were underway to provide secretariat support for the Northern Area Partnership in line with provision for the other three, as part of the recommendations from the voluntary sector support fund review approved by Cabinet.					
It was noted that the existing Billingham Partnership Board's last formal meeting will be in March 2010 and arrangements are underway to establish governance and membership arrangements for a new Northern Area Partnership. It is anticipated that the new Board will be established by May/June 2010 and until that time, the existing Board will meet as an interim arrangement. The new terms of reference and membership for the Northern Area Partnership Board have been signed off by the Chair of the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board to ensure there are no delays in the election process.	The Board endorsed the	No	No	No	
Incorporating the discussions which have taken place with the Chair of the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board and the members of the Northern Area Partnership Board, the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board endorsed the terms of reference and membership for the Northern Area Partnership Board. Following a request at the meeting from members of the	terms of reference for the Northern Area Partnership Board. The Board	No	No	No	

Northern Area Partnership Board, the Stockton Renaissance Partnership Board agreed to increase the membership of the Board from 25 to 28 members for places for the Disability Advisory Group, Safer Billingham Partnership and Over 50s's Assembly.	agreed to increase the membership from 25 to 28.				
<b>8.ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b> Ruth Hill informed the Board that there is the opportunity for organisations to contribute towards supporting the cultural importance of Stockton for the 2010 Olympic Torch Relay, by putting forward suggestions of where the torch can visit in the borough.	Noted	No	No	No	Sarah Upex Area Partnership Board Coordinator

Attendance: Cllr Lupton (Chair), Chris Willis (Vice Chair), Darren Best, Cllr Laing, Neil Schneider, Tony Campbell, Cllr Mrs Fletcher, Paul Thomas, Val Scollen, Jim Beall, Cllr Cook, Geoff Harrison, Dave Pickard, Mike Lakinski,

Advisors: Ruth Hill, Lesley King, Dawn Welsh, Sarah Upex, Paul Dobson, Carole Langrick, Steven Hume, Julie Derbyshire, Alison Aguis, Eddie Wrigley, Mike Batty, Julie Danks

**Observers**: Jan Doherty, Cllr Womphrey, Lesley Cannon, Graham Prest, Steve Boyd, Andrew Brookes, Cath Pickup, Kevin Bowler, Lynne Snowball, Ray McCall, Fred Gook, Mike Procter, David Whiting

**Apologies:** Ross Hume, Jonathan Lamb, Paul Burns, Paul Chapman, Dianne Patterson, Ian Harrington, Tina Williams, Jeremy Garside, Neville Anderson, Richard Poundford, Graeme Oram, Cllr McCoy, Cllr Gibson, Cllr Rigg, Jane Humphreys, Alex Bain, Cllr Rigg, Cllr Cunningham