Members:

Alex Bain (Chair/CPF), Paul Thomas (CS), Edna Chapman (VS), Elizabeth McClurg (VS), Stan Slater (VS), Tina Williams (CS), Jim Beall (CS), Judith Turner (RC), Choon Dee Neoh (BME), Roy Parker (CS), Mary Cartwright, Karen Merrick (SBC)

Advisors:

Haleem Ghafoor (SBC), Paul McGee, Kevin McAuley

Observers:

Ron Atkinson, David Whiting

Apologies:

Cllr Terry Laing (SBC), Cllr David Coleman (SBC), James Hadman (SRCGA), Elaine Morris (PCT), Margaret Tinkler (Rural), John Tierney (SBC), Mark Telford (SBC), Jim Mason, Sarah Upex (SBC)

CS =	Community Sector	YA =	Youth Assembly
PCT/PUB =	Primary Care Trust/Public Sector	RC =	Rural Community Sector
PS =	Private Sector	BS =	Business Sector
Stockton Police/PUB =	Stockton Police/Public Sector	PC =	Parish Council
SBC/PUB =	Stockton Borough Council/Public Sector	CEN=	Community Empowerment Network

VS = Voluntary Sector JS+/PUB = Job Centre Plus

CPF = Community Partnership Forum

ITEM/ISSUE	D O I	COMMENTS/DECISION	ACTION	Does it need Council approval/ endorseme nt?	Are any other boards affected?	CONTACT
1.WELCOME & APOLOGIES. Welcome and Introductions were made. Apologies were noted.		Noted.	No	No	No	Haleem Ghafoor Senior Renewal Officer (01642) 528677
2. PRESTON HALL AND PARK DRAFT DEVELOPMENT MASTERPLAN AND CONSULTATION A presentation was given to members on the Preston Park and Hall development plan, to invite views on their developments. Members were provided with a leaflet, which outlined the draft development plan for proposed improvements to the Park and Hall. It was explained that there was consultation taking place across the borough with a wide range of		Noted	Yes Comments are invited on the proposed improvements.	No	No	Paul McGee/Kevin McAuley (01642) 614126

groups/partnerships/networks etc;		
 PMcG outlined the in his presentation some key points which included: The need to consider a single line of management for the park; Agree a set of priorities to target pressured resources; Increase the general usage by improving its facilities such as the animal park, improve the museum, accessibility, to aspects of the park such as the river; upgrade general facilities such as the cafeteria, the drainage, traffic management, the car park, develop nature reserve, signage etc; 		
PMcG explained that this was an extensive consultation process to take place in two stages. There were two funding submissions to be made to the National Lottery under the following themes (i) Heritage Lottery Fund; and (ii) Parks for People.		
PMcG explained at this point he would		

like members to consider even some more ambitious programmes which may be possible in the future, for example a footbridge between Ingleby and Eaglescliffe into the park is an aspiration but outside the immediate timeframe.			
PMcG gave some examples of the feedback recieved to date which included: • Improved seating areas for			
grandparents; Access to drinking water; Provision of a pond Modern paddling port;			
 More challenging play equipment More care for museum and improved shop; 			
 Re-establish a planting area for the park; Make better use of space; etc; 			
It was also explained that 50% of the parks current users were local and 50% from outside the borough, which indicates the potential explaining its attractiveness to those not local.			

Feedback received from members included:			
We have an opportunity to exercise from public's point of view for all age groups; therefore we must take on the specific views of young people.			
No signage for the museum			
There is too much water standing – we need to improve the drainage; (also in light of recent whether and climate changes)			
The car park and where it is situated makes it dangerous			
There is no where to report anything in the park i.e. a reception area;			
We need to promote and make better use of the open space for exercise and healthy living initiatives;			
We need to improve public transport to allow people to access the park via			

train, buses etc;				
We must make use and support of local groups where they are represented i.e. friends of Preston Park – as they can also support in accessing funds / grants				
There needs to better premises built where schools can access the range of artifacts in the museum				
We need to exploit the opportunity for a bridge to link Ingleby Barwick and the Teesdale Way, with links to the Park.				
Signage needs to be improved – to able to navigate residents around the Park				
The Avery is unfit for purpose, and needs to be updated.				
We need to ensure that maintenance costs are not too high for any new developments – as this may result in costs being incurred.				
The park should be kept as free for everyone to use.				

	T T	
We need to ensure that the park remains not too controlled (man made) to ensure that nature is kept where possible for exploration.		
We need to improve accessibility around the park so that for example disabled groups can use the park i.e. access the river sideways etc;		
Can we name the trees in the park?		
Improve the traffic management i.e. 'right hand turn into Yarm road' and manage the traffic around the car park areas better;		
Yarm Road needs to be re-designed to better manage the traffic in-flow/outflow;		
We need to have more merchandise to sell for the Park i.e. maps, types of trees in the park brochure etc;		
We need to consider the possibility of differential charging for different groups i.e voluntary groups etc;		

We need a development where there is free space for natural aspects to flourish						
The quality of the museum needs to be improved both in appearance and what it holds i.e. we need more local heritage contained within it.						
We need to continue to have the space for undertaking activities such as health walks, Arts etc;						
The possibility of selling restoration produce / plants was also discussed for gardens.						
The Visitors Centre if developed needs to ensure that it is developed as a User-friendly place, with no jargon.						
3. NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL SIX MONTHLY UPDATE		Noted	Yes	No	No	Haleem Ghafoor Senior Renewal
HG circulated a report, which had been taken to Renaissance on the 10 th July 2007, for information. HG updated the			HG to provide details of			Officer (01642) 528677
board on various aspects of the report.	1 ,		Enforcement	1		

agreed that he would ask Sarah to provide a list of which members are representative on which thematic partnership, and would also request that this is put on the next agenda so that members can discuss further thematic reporting at the next meeting. 4. CENTRAL AREA PARTNERSHIP BOARD SUMMARY PLAN	Noted	Yes	No	No	Sarah Upex Central Area Partnership
HG presented the draft Summary plan on behalf of Sarah Upex, for the Partnership for 2006/07, and updated members on its content and purpose. The group were also informed that we would be developing one for 2007/08. Members were then requested to confirm the content of the plan.		Sarah to amend the summary plan as noted.			Coordinator (01642) 526498
It was noted that it might be more useful to have a map of the Central area partnership included within the plan, instead of the contact details for the various organisations and partnerships. HG noted that this would be amended.					

Members confirmed the content of the plan.					
3. ANY OTHER BUSINESS 'Together We Engage' – event (Consultation on the Sustainable Community Strategy 2008-21 and the	Noted	Yes	No	No	Sarah Upex Central Area Partnership Coordinator (01642) 526498
Libraries service) HG thanked the partnership for their support at the CEN/Area Partnership joint event ('Together we engage'). The group were informed that the event was extremely successful and it was endeavoured that notes from the event will be circulated to all those who attended.					Haleem Ghafoor (01642) 528677
The group were also informed that it was anticipated that another event would be held in September to consider the draft Sustainable Community strategy 2008-21.					
RCAP sub group HG informed the group that after several meetings, the RCAP sub-group					

agreed to continue to fund the 'Youth Services – Youthbank'. HG informed the group that he will requesting that application packs are sent out to all RCAP members including the agreed eligibility criteria for members to circulate to young people.		
Housing & Neighbourhood Partnership RP gave an update from the last Housing & Neighbourhood Partnership where he informed the group that a tour took place to all the Housing Regeneration Schemes. He informed the group that progress was going well at the Parkfield site.		
'Beat it Project' event RP gave an update on the event held on the 21 st July 2007. RP informed the group that the event was extremely successful and had over 130 Children & Young people present on the day and over 300 parents. RA asked the board whether he could be guided on why Youth & Community	HG agreed to refer the matter onto the catalyst and other appropriate contacts.	

Centres could not access better interest rates for the Centres funds. HG stated that he will look into the matter, and it was also suggested that the matter should be referred to the catalyst.			