

## APPENDIX 2

POLICIES AND PROPOSALS OF THE STOCKTON-ON-TEES LOCAL PLAN												
ASSESSMENT OF SUITABILITY FOR SAVED POLICIES / PROPOSALS												
SECTION: INTRODUCTION			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
GP 1	Proposals for Development	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy is generic and cross-cutting. This means that it has general utility across a number of Local Plan sections.	N/a	Core Strategy DPD1	July 2009

<b>SECTION: ENVIRONMENT</b>			<b>Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)</b>									
<b>Policy No</b>	<b>Policy Title</b>	<b>Propose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted</b>	<b>The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.</b>	<b>The DPD adoption date.</b>
EN 1	Sites of Special Scientific Interest	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Continuing protection of the local SSSI not within a designated European site	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 2	Local Nature Reserves (LNR)	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Continued protection of the LNR from inappropriate development.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 4	Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI)	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Criteria based policy for locally designated sites not covered in national planning policy.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 5	Land Adjoining North Tees Works	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Offers protection to the SNCI from development relating to policies IN 5 & IN 6	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 7	Special Landscape Areas	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy is locally specific, and offers protection to local special landscape areas.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010

EN 8	Ancient Woodland	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	This policy has a central strategy of protecting the Borough's ancient woodland, has regard to the community strategy in improving the environment, and is consistent with the RSS, though there is some repetition of policy (esp. PPS9).	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 9	Wynyard Park/ Historic Parks	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy also applies to Ropner Park, policy in line with national guidance and has an SPD link as well.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 11	Cleveland Community Forest (now Tees Forest)	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy enables enhancement of the community forest through appropriate use of S106 agreements.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 12	International Nature Reserve	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy linked to EN 1(a) concerning the SSSI area of an International Nature Reserve	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 13	Limits to Development	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy integral to the control of development within the Borough	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 14	Green Wedges	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Important policy that maintains the separation of communities within the built up area and improve the appearance of the Borough.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010

EN 15	Urban Open Space	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy offers protection, and possibly enhancement, of open space within the Borough. It reflects both 'improving the environment' and people's 'quality of life' themes in the community strategy	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 16	Land allocation (Crosby Sarek)	Save	Y	N	N	N/a	Y	Y	Although this policy does not meet all the tests, it is purely a land allocation, and since there is no planning permission granted as yet, the policy should be retained.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
EN 17	Site Allocations (Mixed Use)	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Parts (a) and (d) – development not started. Part (c) – linked to an SPD Parts (b) and (f) – partially developed.	N/a	N/a	N/a
EN 20	Reuse of rural buildings	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy outlines in detail how the Borough's rural buildings should be converted, adapted, or reused.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 22	Conservation Area boundary reviews	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	N/a	N/a
EN 23	Conservation Area appraisals and management plans	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	N/a	N/a

EN 24	New development in Conservation Areas	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	N/a	N/a
EN 25	New development in Conservation Areas	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 26	Listed Buildings	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 27	Listed Buildings	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 28	Listed Buildings	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 29	Sites of Archaeological Interest	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
EN 30	Sites of Archaeological Interest	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	All conservation area policies to be retained as they are soon to be supported by an SPD.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010

EN 36	New Hazardous Development	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Criteria (i) is signposted by IN6 and IND7 but Development Control officers state that criteria (ii) and (iii) have significant continuing utility and that there is not an alternative policy framework that could substitute for it.	N/a	N/a	N/a
EN 37	Expansion of hazardous installations	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Development Control officers state that the policy has significant continuing utility and that there is not an alternative policy framework that could substitute for it.	N/a	N/a	N/a
EN 38	Residential development near a hazardous installation	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	As above.	PPS 23	N/a	N/a
EN 39	Industrial or commercial development near a hazardous installation	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	As above.	PPS 23 PPG 4	N/a	N/a
EN 40	Storage and use of hazardous substances	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	As above.	PPS 10	N/a	N/a

EN 42	Wind Turbines in the open countryside	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Retain this policy as it promotes renewable energy, supporting the government's current emphasis on this type of development.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
-------	---------------------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	-----	------------------	-------------

SECTION: INDUSTRY			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
IN1	Business & General Industry.	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policies cover the Borough's employment allocations & are therefore not a repetition of policy. Strategy involves maintaining supply of land to encourage investment. This strategy accords with draft RSS and the community strategy.	N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN2	Allocations for general industry storage and distribution.	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN3	Port Related	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN4	Prestige Sites	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN5	Potentially Polluting or Hazardous Industrial Uses	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN6	Hazardous Installations	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010
IN7	ICI Process Plant.	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y		N/a	Regeneration DPD 2.	April 2010



IN10	Alternative uses within existing / proposed industrial land.	Save	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Y	Policy interprets guidance and provides criteria as to when other uses are appropriate. Links all other tests are tenuous.	N/a	N/a	N/a
------	--	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---	--	-----	-----	-----

<b>SECTION: HOUSING</b>			<b>Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)</b>									
<b>Policy No</b>	<b>Policy Title</b>	<b>Propose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.</b>	<b>The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.</b>	<b>The DPD adoption date.</b>
HO 1	Housing Allocations	Save	Y	N	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy supports the delivery of housing in accordance with existing Local Plan and RSS housing policies and may be needed to support the preparation of a Supplementary Planning Document prior to the adoption of the Regeneration Development Plan Document.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010

H0 3	Development on Unallocated Sites	Save	Y	N	N	N/a	N/a	Y	The policy passes test 1. There is a clear central strategy of guiding development to within development limits. The policy fails test 3. It is not in conformity with the priority given in Policy 3 of the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy to previously-developed sites and buildings. However, experience has shown that the Council's Development Control service are able to implement this policy with full regard to this aspect of more recent national guidance (PPG3) and the emerging RSS. It is therefore, considered that it should be retained as the alternative would be a policy vacuum which would be unacceptable.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
------	----------------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	-----	---	---	-----	-------------------	------------

H0 6	Residential Conversions	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy passes test 1. There is a clear central strategy of guiding development to within development limits. The policy also passes test 3 as it is in conformity with the priority given in Policy 3 of the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy to previously-developed sites and buildings.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
------	-------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	--	-----	-------------------	------------

H0 8	Housing for Special Needs	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N/a	Y	<p>The policy passes test 1. There is a clear central strategy of focussing this new care homes in residential areas that are accessible to local services. The policy passes test 2. <i>Improving housing and support for vulnerable and older people</i> is a sub-theme of the <i>Improving housing quality and choice</i> theme in the Community Strategy design in all development</p> <p>The policy requires updating as special needs is a broader policy area than residential institutions. For example, the policy does not encourage developers to construct dwellings to the "Lifetime Homes" standards developed by the Joseph Rowntree Foundation Lifetime Homes Group.</p>	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
------	---------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	-----	---	--	-----	-------------------	------------

H0 11	Design and Layout	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	<p>The policy sets out the criteria for the design and layout of new residential development. The policy passes test 1. It relates to Objective 4 of the Local Plan (To ensure a high standard of building design in new and extended dwellings).</p> <p>The policy passes test 2. <i>Improve the quality of the built environment</i> is a sub-theme of the <i>Sustainable Neighbourhoods</i> theme in the Community Strategy the Community Strategy. The policy passes test 3. Policy 9 – <i>Protecting and Enhancing The Environment</i> in the emerging RSS promotes a high quality of design in all development and redevelopment. In addition Development Control officers have identified the policy as frequently used and therefore, still highly relevant. It should be retained.</p>	N/a	Core Strategy DPD1	July 2009
-------	-------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	-----	--------------------	-----------

H0 12	Extensions and Other Domestic Development	Save	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	The policy passes test 1. It relates to Objective 4 of the Local Plan (To ensure a high standard of building design in new and extended dwellings). The policy passes test 2. <i>Improve the quality of the built environment</i> is a sub-theme of the <i>Sustainable Neighbourhoods</i> theme in the Community Strategy.	N/a	Core Strategy DPD1	July 2009
H0 13	Extensions for Dependant Relatives	Save	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	The policy passes test 1. It relates to Objective 4 of the Local Plan (To ensure a high standard of building design in new and extended dwellings).	N/a	Core Strategy DPD1	July 2009

<b>SECTION: COMMUNITY AND EDUCATION FACILITIES</b>			<b>Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)</b>									
<b>Policy No</b>	<b>Policy Title</b>	<b>Propose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.</b>	<b>The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.</b>	<b>The DPD adoption date.</b>
COMM 1	Childcare	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of sites for childcare. Allocations (b) and (d) have still to be implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
COMM 4	Yarm Social Centre	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Support for the replacement of Yarm Social Centre – still to be implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
ED4	Teesdale University site	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of site – still partially outstanding	N/a	N/a	N/a



SECTION: RECREATION			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
REC 1	Outdoor playing space	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy protects identified playing spaces from inappropriate development. The tests are unique to this policy and develop the advice of National Policy further by introducing these specific tests. Maintaining a local supply of playing space is essential in encouraging healthy and green local environments with a sense of place – protecting these sites is important.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
REC 8	Tees and Leven country park	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy designates a site for a country park to encourage leisure and recreation and access to open space and the countryside and the river. It has not been implemented yet and should be retained to encourage such development.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010

REC 11	Designated footpaths	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies a number of key footpath routes across the Borough and sets out the commitment to improve these routes. The footpath routes provide opportunities for a healthy lifestyle, access to the countryside and the river, and for leisure and recreation. Although many improvements have been made, there is always scope for further improvements and therefore the policy should be retained	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
REC 13	Cable ski course	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies a site for a cable ski course. This has not been implemented, but will provide access to the river and promote the use of the river as a tourist attraction and access to leisure and recreation.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 16	Marina	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy identifies a site for a marina which will assist in regenerating riverside sites, and also in promoting the river as a place for recreation and leisure. Marina has not been implemented yet, so Policy should remain.	N/a	N/a	N/a

REC 17	Public landing points	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy identifies sites for landing points which will assist in regenerating riverside sites, and also in promoting the river as a place for recreation and leisure. Some sites have been completed, but sites (a), (c), (e), (g) (h).have not yet been implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 18	Slipways	Save	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Y	Policy identifies sites for slipways which will assist in regenerating riverside sites, and also in promoting the river as a place for recreation and leisure. Site (a) remains to be implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 19	New slipways	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	Policy sets the tests for new slipways and encourages regeneration of riverside sites and use of the river for leisure and recreation. Test (i) repeats Policy GP1, but the remaining tests are unique to this policy and should be retained.	This edited policy should be used in conjunction with Policy GP1.	Environment DPD4	August 2010
REC 20	New footpaths and cycle routes	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates new cycle routes promoting sustainable travel and recreation routes. Some work has begun on these routes but work has yet to be completed, and therefore the commitment should remain in the Local Plan.	N/a	N/a	N/a

REC 21	New bridges	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy allocates sites for new bridges. None have been implemented but will improve access to the river, promote walking and cycling when they are implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
--------	-------------	------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	--	-----	-----	-----

SECTION: TRANSPORT			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
TR1	Pedestrian bridges	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies two sites for pedestrian bridges to promote sustainable traffic between key regeneration sites. Teesdale Bridge (b) has an extant planning permission and has still to be implemented.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
TR4	New cycle routes	Save				N/a			The policy identifies new cycle and footpath routes, some of which remain to be have implemented.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR8	Light rail or bus way transport corridors	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy safeguards land for public transport corridor development which will reduce the need to travel by private car and allow access to services and facilities for all. Routes have not been implemented yet	N/a	N/a	N/a

TR11	Safeguarding rail corridors	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy requires the safeguarding of railway corridors to facilitate more sustainable transport of goods and passengers. This reduces the reliance on private cars and promotes sustainable transport.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR12	Trunk road improvements	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies some trunk roads that are to be improved. The Long Newton Interchange improvements have not yet been carried out. Although the use of cars should be discouraged, the improvements to the A66 Longnewton interchange are to provide a safer junction and better access to the airport for economic growth.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR13	Highway improvements	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies further road improvements. Two of the four schemes have been implemented but two remain outstanding. Although the use of cars should be discouraged the proposed Thornaby Bypass is an essential relief road to ease congestion on the A19 main road, and the A178 Seal Sands road is an essential freight corridor for growth of Teesport and the petrochemical industries at Seal Sands.	N/a	N/a	N/a

TR15	Highway design	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy sets out the Council's standards for highway design, and underpins the Design Standards Document used in determining planning applications. It requires new roads etc to conform in order to ensure pedestrian and vehicular safety, as well as constructional standards to maintain a high quality built environment. Therefore this policy is very important and should be kept.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
TR16	Private parking in Stockton town centre	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy prohibits the development of private car parks in Stockton centre in order to make the best use of land, to discourage the use of the private car and to promote public transport, and also to encourage better urban realm. Therefore it is useful in creating a sense of place.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010

TR18	Yarm town centre parking	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy allows development in Yarm District Centre to provide sub-standard parking spaces in return for a commuted sum. This promotes more sustainable development in a busy centre, reduces the impact of numerous cars on the historic qualities of the Conservation Area, and reduces congestion in the centre. It is an important policy and should be retained.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR19	Safeguarding a rail link to Seal Sands	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The Seal Sands rail link is intended to facilitate the growth of the port which will provide economic benefits to the Borough and indeed the region. It is essential that this line be protected.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR20	Safeguarding railway sidings	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy requires the safeguarding of railway sidings to facilitate more sustainable transport of goods. This reduces the reliance on road transport and promotes sustainable transport. It also provides greater choice in choosing employment sites for investors.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010



TR21	Employment uses at Teesside Airport	Save	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The employment uses at Teesside Airport provide choice of sites for investors, allowing the airport to grow as a transport hub. However, in order to remain a sustainable development, the types of use should be limited to airport related use. While this is set out in the RSS panel report, the RSS has not itself identified this and therefore the policy should remain.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
------	-------------------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	-----	-------------------	------------

**POLICIES AND PROPOSALS OF THE STOCKTON-ON-TEES LOCAL PLAN  
ASSESSMENT OF SUITABILITY FOR SAVED POLICIES / PROPOSALS**

<b>SECTION: ENVIRONMENT</b>			<b>Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)</b>									
<b>Policy No</b>	<b>Policy Title</b>	<b>Propose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted</b>	<b>The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement</b>	<b>The DPD adoption date</b>
EN 3	Designating LNRs	Delete	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	The Local Nature Reserves proposed are now adopted, so policy EN2 now supersedes this. (Look to update this as there are more LNRs designated now)	N/a	Environment DPD 4	August 2010
EN 6	Protected Species	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Offers no detail above that which is given in national statutory guidance.	PPS 9	Environment DPD 4	August 2010
EN 10	Agricultural Land & Buildings	Delete	N	N	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy is redundant as merely repeats PPS 7, and regional guidance. Such areas of land are protected by the limits to development policy as well.	PPS 7	Environment DPD 4	August 2010
EN 18	Derelict land reclamation in Urban area	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy repeats GP1, and the current national policy emphasis on PDL	PPG3, PPS 6, PPS1	N/a	N/a

EN 19	Derelict land reclamation outside the limits	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Again, policy repeats GP1, and EN 13	PPS7 GP1 EN 13	N/a	N/a
EN 21	Reuse of rural buildings for residential use	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy unnecessary as it merely repeats both GP1 and EN13, and offers nothing else specific within the criteria.	PPS 7 GP 1, EN 13	Environment DPD 4	August 2010
EN 31	Protection from Pollution	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy merely repeats requirements set out in the Environmental impact assessment regulations, international law and PPS23.	PPS 23	N/a	N/a
EN 32	Water & Sewerage Undertakings	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy repeats parts of GP1 and reflects statutory requirements for water and sewerage undertakers.	GP 1	N/a	N/a
EN 33	Agricultural Waste	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy Repeats GP1, and development of this kind would require an EIA to be carried out.	PPS 7 GP 1	N/a	N/a
EN 34	Contaminated Land	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy repeats national guidance updated in PPS23 and the requirement for an EIA.	PPS 23	N/a	N/a
EN 35	Development in the vicinity of contaminated land	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Policy repeats national guidance updated in PPS23 and the requirement for an EIA.	PPS 23	N/a	N/a

EN 41	Release and transmission of renewable energy	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy was introduced to facilitate the energy from waste plant at Haverton Hill. This has now been implemented. Parts (i) and (iii) repeat policy GP1. Part (ii) is signposted by policies EN1, EN4, EN7, EN8, EN9, EN10 and EN14.	N/a	Environment DPD4	August 2010
-------	--	--------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	-----	------------------	-------------

SECTION: INDUSTRY			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement	The DPD adoption date.
IN8	Industrial activity out with the use classes order.	Delete	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	Repetition of policy.	Policy GP1 and PPS1.	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
IN9	Conversion of buildings for B1 uses in residential / commercial areas.	Delete	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	Repetition of policy.	PPG4 paragraph 32 – 34.	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
IN11	Community Training advice centre – Richard Hind School	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Policy objective completed. No longer required.	N/a	N/a	N/a
IN12	Enterprise Centre at St James Community Centre.	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Policy objective completed. No longer required.	N/a	N/a	N/a

IN13	Workshop units at five lamps. Thornaby Community Centre.	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Policy objective completed. No longer required.	N/a	N/a	N/a
IN14	Environmental Measures within Industrial Estates.	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	These measures were to be undertaken by the now defunct City Challenge. Policy no longer relevant.	N/a	N/a	N/a
IN15	Layout and Arrangement	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	Repeats advice in policy GP1 and PPS1.	Policy GP1 and PPS1.	Core Strategy DPD1	July 2009
IN16	Telecommunications.	Delete.	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	Repeats advice in PPG8.	PPG8 provides advice on siting and design of Telecom equipment.	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010

SECTION: TOURISM			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
TOUR 1	Preston park	Delete	N	Y	Y	N/a	N	N	Preston Park is a regional tourist attraction, however the policy repeats the tests of GP1, and has no clear strategy as to what development is permitted. Therefore any development is subject to GP1, rendering the policy superfluous.	GP1, EN26, En27, EN28, EN30, PPG15	Regeneration DPD	April 2010
TOUR 2	Castlegate Quay	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The development of a tourist facility at Castlegate Quay will attract people to the centre and promote the river, leisure and tourism. However the development has been completed and therefore the policy is superfluous.	N/a	N/a	N/a
TOUR 3	Conversion of buildings outside Limit to Development to hotel	Delete	N	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy merely signposts another policy in the Local Plan and therefore has no clear purpose of its own. It is unnecessary and should be deleted.	EN20, PPS7, GP1	Environment DPD4	August 2010

TOUR 4	Guest accommodation	Delete				N/a		N	This policy has no clear purpose, and repeats the tests of Policy GP1, and is therefore unnecessary.	GP1	Environment DPD4	August 2010
--------	---------------------	--------	--	--	--	-----	--	---	--	-----	------------------	-------------



SECTION: HOUSING			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
H0 2	New Allocations	Delete	Y	N	N	NA	NA	N	The policy passes test 1. There is a clear central strategy of guiding development to within development limits. However, all of the allocations are now either developed or under construction. No purpose therefore, is served by retaining the policy.	N/a	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
H0 4	Affordable Housing	Delete	Y	Y	N	N/a	N/a	N	Policy superseded by National and Regional Guidance and up to date Local Housing Assessment	PPS3 and Circular 6/98	Core Strategy DPD and/or Regeneration DPD2	July 2009 April 2010

H0 5	Low Cost Housing	Delete	N	N	N	N/a	N/a	N	The policy allows the development of “low-cost” housing adjacent to the limits to development subject to meeting the criteria set out. This does not conform to the national guidance (see Annex B of PPG3) which restricts such development to “rural exception sites” i.e. within or immediately adjacent to rural villages rather than simply adjacent to the limits to development	Annex B of PPG3	N/a	N/a
------	------------------	--------	---	---	---	-----	-----	---	--	-----------------	-----	-----

H0 7	Gypsies	Delete	N	N	N	N/a	N/a	Y	<p>The policy fails test 1. There is no clear central strategy to that informs proposals for gypsy sites. The policy also fails test 2. There is no reference to meeting the accommodation needs of gypsies in the Community Strategy. Although there is no reference to meeting the needs of gypsies in RSS Submission Draft (June 2005) the issue is addressed in the proposed modified text to RSS Policy 31 in the EIP Panel Report. However, Policy H0 7 is inconsistent with emerging RSS Policy 31 and therefore, also fails test 3. The policy should therefore be deleted. The Council has scheduled the adoption of Core Strategy for June 2009. This will include a policy dealing with the provision of sites for the gypsy and traveller community. In the interim period reliance will be placed on the most recent national guidance (Circular 01/06 (ODPM): Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites) and the emerging RSS.</p>	<p>Circular 01/06 (ODPM): Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites.</p> <p>The emerging Regional Spatial Strategy for the North East</p>	<p>Regeneration DPD2</p> <p>And</p> <p>Core Strategy</p>	<p>April 2010</p> <p>July 2009</p>
------	---------	--------	---	---	---	-----	-----	---	---	--	--	------------------------------------

H0 9	Local Authority Housing	Delete	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	The policy states that a renewal area will be designated at North Thornaby. It then sets out some of the elements of schemes that were due to be implemented. The policy is not therefore, consistent with the guidance in PPS12 (para 2.28) that policies should set out the criteria against which planning applications for the development and use of land and buildings will be considered. It should therefore, be deleted	N/a	N/a	N/a
H0 10	City Challenge	Delete	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N	The policy states that regeneration schemes will be implemented within the area of the City Challenge initiative (which has now been wound up). The policy is not therefore, consistent with the guidance in PPS12 (para 2.28) that policies should set out the criteria against which planning applications for the development and use of land and buildings will be considered. It should therefore, be deleted	N/a	N/a	N/a

<b>SECTION: COMMUNITY AND EDUCATION</b>			<b>Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)</b>									
<b>Policy No</b>	<b>Policy Title</b>	<b>Propose</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.</b>	<b>The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.</b>	<b>The DPD adoption date.</b>
COMM2	Doctor's Surgery	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of site - completed	N/a	N/a	N/a
COMM3	Community uses at Ingleby Barwick	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of sites - completed	N/a	N/a	N/a
ED1	Ingleby Barwick School	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of site - completed	No substitution necessary	N/a	N/a
ED2	Primary Schools in Ingleby Barwick	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of sites - completed	No substitution necessary	N/a	N/a
ED3	Secondary School at Ingleby Barwick	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Allocation of site - completed	No substitution necessary	N/a	N/a

SECTION: RECREATION			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
REC 2	Equipped play area allocation	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates a site for children's equipped play area – promoting healthy lifestyle and local leisure and recreation facilities. Site has been developed and is operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 3	Environmental improvement in parks	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy commits the Council to environmental improvements in three public parks – promoting safer communities, local distinctiveness and access to leisure and recreation. However, sites have been developed and are operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 4	Ingleby Barwick park	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates a site for a park – promoting healthy lifestyle and local leisure and recreation facilities. Site has been developed and is operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a

REC 5	Cowpen Bewley wildlife park	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates a site for a wildlife park – promoting healthy lifestyle and local leisure and recreation facilities, and nature conservation Site has been developed and is operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 6	Stillington Nature Park	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates a site for a wildlife park on recycled land – promoting healthy lifestyle and local leisure and recreation facilities, and nature conservation Site has been developed and is operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 7	Castle Eden Walkway extension	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	Policy allocates a countryside walkway extension linking residential areas to the open countryside – promoting healthy lifestyle and local leisure and recreation facilities, and nature conservation Site has been developed and is operational so there is no need to retain this policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 9	Outdoor leisure in the Green Wedge	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	X	Policy sets out tests for development in the green wedge to protect it from inappropriate development. However, the tests repeat other policies in the Local Plan and PPS7 guidance and it therefore superfluous.	GP1, EN10, PPS7	Environment DPD4	August 2010

REC 10	Public rights of way	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a		X	The Policy sets out the Council's stance in development that affects a public right of way. This is already covered elsewhere in policy GP1, and therefore this Policy is superfluous. There is also no clear strategy to the policy and it is somewhat pointless.	GP1		
REC 12	Canoe slalom and associated development	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	The policy identifies a site for a number of leisure and recreation uses. These provide access to the river and promote the use of the river as a tourist attraction and access to leisure and recreation. However, this has been implemented and therefore there is no longer a need to retain the policy.	N/a	N/a	N/a
REC 14	Overnight moorings	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	Policy is in 2 parts – first some allocated sites, and second some tests. The allocated sites have been developed and completed, so the policy is redundant. The tests for new moorings are repeated in REC15, and also in GP1 so there is no need to retain that part either.	Tests for new moorings will be considered against Policy GP1	Regeneration DPD2 / Yarm and Eaglescliffe Area Action Plan DPD3	April 2010 March 2010
REC 15	New overnight moorings	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	Policy sets tests for mooring points, promoting the use of the river for leisure and recreation. However, the policy repeats the tests in Policy GP1 and is therefore superfluous.	GP1	As above	As above



SECTION: TRANSPORT			Tests for Saved Policies (from PPS12 para 5.15)									
Policy No	Policy Title	Propose	1	2	3	4	5	6	Comments	Policy framework that will substitute for the policy if deleted.	The DPD that will contain the policy's replacement.	The DPD adoption date.
TR2	Hardwick traffic calming	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy sets out a commitment to install traffic calming measures in Hardwick. The traffic calming has been completed and therefore the policy can be deleted	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR3	Cycle routes	Delete	N			N/a			The policy sets out the Council's commitment to maintaining the cycle routes, however there are no tests or time commitments, and therefore there is no clear strategy for this Policy. The Council is satisfied that public rights of way will be maintained regardless of the presence or otherwise of this policy and therefore it is superfluous.	N/a	N/a	N/a

TR5	Provision for cyclists	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	The policy is intended to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to facilitate cyclists to promote healthy lifestyle, safe and sustainable transport. However, PPG13 Transport sets out the requirement for cycle routes to, from and within developments and this policy merely repeats that guidance. Therefore it may be deleted.	PPG13	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
TR6	Cycle parking	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	The policy is intended to ensure that appropriate measures are taken to facilitate cycle parking to promote healthy lifestyle, safe and sustainable transport. However, PPG13 Transport sets out the requirement for cycles within developments and this policy merely repeats that guidance. Therefore it may be deleted.	PPG13	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
TR7	Disability access	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	The policy requires developments to be disability-friendly. However the introduction of the Disability Discrimination Act carries greater weight and renders the Policy redundant. Therefore it may be deleted.	DDA Legislation	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010

TR9	Bus service in new development	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	N	Policy promotes the use of bus services in new developments. This policy repeats advice in PPG13. therefore there is no need to retain this policy.	PPG13 Transport, PPG3 Housing, Highway Design and Specification (SBC),	Regeneration DPD2	April 2010
TR10	New railway station	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy allocates land for a new railway station which promotes the use of public transport and reduces the reliance on the private car. However the station has been completed and is operation so the policy has been implemented in full and is therefore no longer necessary	N/a	N/a	N/a
TR14	Roadside service stations	Delete	Y	Y	N	N/a	Y	Y	Policy sets the tests for new roadside service stations, however the policy predates PPS6 upon which Alteration Number One is based. Alteration 1 contains more up to date policies regarding out of centre retail and petrol filling stations and supersedes this policy. The design aspects of this policy are repetitions of Policy GP1, and therefore this policy is superfluous.	Policy GP1, Policy S21 of Alteration Number One	N/a	N/a

TR17	Multi-storey parking allocation	Delete	Y	Y	Y	N/a	Y	Y	This policy allocates land for a multi storey car park. The car park is completed and operation and therefore the policy is superfluous and should be deleted.	N/a	N/a	N/a
------	---------------------------------	--------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	--	-----	-----	-----