ITEM/ISSUE	DO I	COMMENTS/DECI SION	ACTION	Does it need Council approval/ endorsemen t?	Are any other boar ds affec ted?	CONTACT
1. Welcome & apologies		Alex Bain and Val Goodrum were introduced as new members to the board.	No	No	No	Lesley Dale Area Partnership Coordinator on (01642) 527568/ 526438
2.Minutes of the meeting held on 9 <sup>th</sup> May 2006		The minutes were agreed as a true and accurate record.	No	Yes	No	Lesley Dale Area Partnership Coordinator Contact 526498/ 527568
Neighbourhood Renewal – Performance Management Framework 2005/06 The Board were provided with the headline outcomes from the Performance Management Framework (PMF) for Neighbourhood Renewal 2005/6, along with the proposed Traffic Light Ratings, which will be submitted to Government Office for the North East.		The board agreed: 1) The endorsement of the Neighbourhood Renewal Performance	DW to arrange PMF presentatio n	No	No	Dawn Welsh Neighbourh ood Renewal Manager Contact

A brief progress update was given on Neighbourhood Renewal within the Borough with specific reference to the Neighbourhood Renewal Action Plan 2005/6, the development of Local Action Plans and the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund. The overall LSP assessment rating for 2004/05 was Amber/Green, however the health and worklessness theme was rated at amber/red due to these themes requiring more concentrated work.	Management Framework 2005/06 2) That the progress information be noted 3) A presentation to be given on the	526011
<ul> <li>This year it an overall rating of green is being proposed, the traffic light ratings for the 2005/06 self assessment are:</li> <li>Health – Amber/Green</li> <li>Economic Regeneration &amp; Transport – Amber/Green</li> <li>Children &amp; Young People – Green</li> <li>Crime - Green</li> <li>Housing - Green</li> <li>Liveability –Green</li> </ul>	PMF process at a future meeting.	
The amber/green rating for Economic Regeneration & Transport is based upon the boroughs overall employment rate having increased by 4% (2004/5) reducing the gap between the Borough and England from 7.1% to 2.85, BME startups in the Borough have increased by 100%, 11 in the NRF areas and 29% increase in IMD areas for business start ups.		

The amber/green rating for health is based upon the indicators where robust data exists and there is evidence is that there are positive and continuing high performance trends.					
With reference to the Crime floor targets, clarity was sought by the board around the figures presented in paragraph 5.2 of the report. It was agreed that the Safer Stockton Partnership would act on this.					
Data provided in the future will give a better breakdown on the pockets of problems that need addressing regarding Worklessness. Information was requested regarding the Pilot projects that are currently been undertaken within the region.					
Renaissance were asked to endorse the Neighbourhood Renewal Performance Management Framework 2005/06 and that the progress information be noted.					
Local Area Agreements A draft Annual Review document was presented to the board for approval, subject to final amendments. Good progress has been made through 2005 with over 80% of performance indicators improving against the three core LAA thematic areas.	The board 1) Approved document subject to final amendments.	Information on last years spend to be circulated to	No	No	Jenny Haworth Assistant Chief Executive Contact 527004
Concerns were raised regarding approving the document when information is not provided regarding last years spend		members			

as reflected in Page 8 Paragraph 4. It was felt that it would be appropriate to compare this information to this years spend before approving document. This information will be circulated to members as it is currently being finalised.	The Board	To amond	No	No	Sacha
<ul> <li>Black &amp; Minority Ethnic (BME) Network update</li> <li>The board were provided with an update on the BME</li> <li>Network. Stockton Renaissance had endorsed a proposal</li> <li>put forward by Stockton International Family Centre to</li> <li>develop the Borough's first Black &amp; Minority Ethnic (BME)</li> <li>Groups Network, in order to facilitate MBE Groups</li> <li>engagement in the LSP process.</li> <li>Over the past 12 months a number of identified BME groups</li> <li>had been developed and the SIFC currently supports</li> <li>around 36 of these groups through the network.</li> <li>Through this development it is felt that members can now</li> <li>be represented on the LSP and other feeder groups.</li> <li>The BME Groups Network are actively seeking to have</li> <li>voting positions and wish to strongly recommend all</li> <li>constituent boards examine their Terms of Reference to</li> <li>have a dedicated voting place on their board from Stockton</li> </ul>	approved:- 1) A dedicated place for a representative from the BME Network onto Stockton Renaissance	To amend TOR to reflect a dedicated place for a BME Network Represent ative. Inform other feeder groups of action.			Bedding Manager SIFC Contact 01642 612400
Core Strategy Issues and Options – Consultation Local	The board:-	No	No	No	Jane Elliot
<b>Development Framework.</b> The board were informed of the emerging Core Strategy issues and options and feedback was sought. Copies of the document had been emailed to all members.	1) Noted the report.				Acting Developme nt Plans Manager

<ul> <li>The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 introduced a new system of local planning called Local Development Framework. Rather than being a single plan, like the Stockton on Tees Local Plan, the new local development framework comprises a series of documents.</li> <li>These will include:- <ul> <li>A Local Development Scheme</li> <li>A statement of Community Involvement</li> <li>A Core strategy</li> <li>Topic-Based Development Plan Documents</li> <li>A Proposals Map</li> <li>Supplementary Planning Documents</li> <li>An Annual Monitoring Plan</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2) Agreed to submit responses directly to the Planning Department.	Contact 526053
Following the end of the consultation period, the council will need to consider all views and comments received. In the light of these, and against the background of national and regional planning guidance, the Preferred Options of the Council will be decided and consulted upon to get feedback from the community by 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2006		
One of the specific questions asked in Section 13, is around the Format of Future Development Plan Documents. This looks at whether there is support for looking at the broad proposals to be put forward in the Core Strategy and identify the implications for the area covered by the Area Partnerships Boards, in a similar manner to the Local		

Transport Plan. Views from the board members are to be forwarded to Development Plans Manager.					
Minutes of meetings: The Billingham Partnership held on 10 <sup>th</sup> April 2006	Noted	No	No	No	Sophie Richardson 01642 526026
The Eastern Area Partnership meeting held on 25th February 2006.	Noted	No	No	No	Sophie Richardson 01642 526025
The Central Area Partnership meeting held on 30 <sup>th</sup> March 2006	Noted	No	No	No	Lesley Dale 01642 52649

Attendance: Cllr B Gibson, Cllr Maureen Rigg, Ann Baxter, Paul Thomas, Val Goodrum, John Tough, Cllr Bob Cook, Tina Williams, Alex Bain, Jeremy Garside, Jim Beall

Advisors: Dawn Welsh, Lesley Dale, Ian Thompson, Jane Elliot, Julie Allport, Jenny Haworth (SBC/PUB), Kelly Brown (SRCGA), Paul McGee, Sacha Bedding, Geoff Lee.

**Apologies:** Tony Campbell, Cllr Dave Coleman, Malcolm Taylor, Cllr Jennie Beaumont, Mike Picknett, Suzanne Fletcher, Steve Davison, Carol Adams, Julie Derbyshire, David Whiting, Alex Cunningham, Jerry Hayton, Suzanne Martin, Chris Willis